arXiv:1508.06127v1 [math.AP] 25 Aug 2015

Global regular motions for compressible barotropic viscous fluids. Stability

H-O. Bae[1] and Wojciech M. Zajączkowski[2]

[1] Department of Mathematics, Ajou University, Suwon, South Korea
[2] Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences,
Śniadeckich 8, 00-656 Warsaw, Poland,
Institute of Mathematics and Cryptology, Cybernetics Faculty,
Military University of Technology,
Kaliskiego 2, 00-908 Warsaw, Poland
e-mail:wz@impan.gov.pl

Abstract

We consider viscous compressible barotropic motions in a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with the Dirichlet boundary conditions for velocity. We assume the existence of some special sufficiently regular solutions v_s (velocity), ϱ_s (density) of the problem. By the special solutions we can choose spherically symmetric solutions. Let v, ϱ be a solution to our problem. Then we are looking for differences $u = v - v_s$, $\eta = \varrho - \varrho_s$. We prove existence of u, η such that $u, \eta \in L_\infty(kT, (k+1)T; H^2(\Omega))$, $u_t, \eta_t \in L_\infty(kT, (k+1)T; H^1(\Omega))$, $u \in L_2(kT, (k+1)T; H^3(\Omega))$, $u_t \in L_2(kT, (k+1)T; H^2(\Omega))$, where $T > 0$ is fixed and $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Moreover, u, η are sufficiently small in the above norms. This also means that stability of the special solutions v_s, ϱ_s is proved. Finally, we proved existence of solutions such that $v = v_s + u$, $\varrho = \varrho_s + \eta$.

Mathematical Subject Classification (2010): 35A01, 35Q30, 76N10

Key words: compressible viscous barotropic fluids, Dirichlet boundary conditions, global existence of regular solutions, stability of spherically symmetric solutions

1 Introduction

We consider the motions of viscous compressible barotropic fluids in a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ described by the following problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\varrho(v_t + v\cdot\nabla v) - \mu\Delta v - \nu\nabla\mathrm{div}\, v + \nabla p = \varrho f && \text{in } \ \Omega^T \equiv \Omega\times(0,T),\\
&\varrho_t + \mathrm{div}\,(\varrho v) = 0 && \text{in } \ \Omega^T,\\
&\varrho|_{t=0} = \varrho_0, \quad v|_{t=0} = v_0 && \text{in } \ \Omega,\\
&v = 0 && \text{on } \ S^T = S\times(0,T),
\end{aligned}
\tag{1.1}
$$

where S is the boundary of Ω. By $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ we denote the Cartesian coordinates, $\varrho = \varrho(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is the density of the fluid, $v = (v_1(x,t), v_2(x,t), v_3(x,t)) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is the velocity, $p = p(\varrho) = A\varrho^{\varkappa}$, $\varkappa > 1$, A positive constant, the pressure, $f = (f_1(x,t), f_2(x,t), f_3(x,t)) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ the external force field. By μ, ν we denote positive viscosity coefficients satisfying the following thermodynamic restrictions

$$
\mu > 0, \quad \nu > 0. \tag{1.2}
$$

Finally, by the dot we denote the scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

Since we are interested to prove global regular solutions to (1.1) and since such result can not be expected in the nearest future (if at all) we are looking for such solutions to (1.1) which are close to some known special regular global solutions to (1.1) (for example: spherically symmetric, two-dimensional).

Global existence of regular spherically symmetric solutions to problem (1.1) in a domain between two spheres is proved in [CK]. Global existence of regular two-dimensional solutions is proved in [KW] for equations $(1.1)_{1,2}$, where $\nu = \nu(\varrho) = \varrho^{\gamma}$, $\gamma > 3$, and for the slip boundary conditions. Therefore, we restrict our considerations to show stability of spherically symmetric solutions. Moreover, stability of two-dimensional solutions must be performed in a different way.

Hence, we assume that $\varrho_s, v_s, p_s = A\varrho_s^{\varkappa}$ are the special regular solutions satisfying the problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\varrho_s(v_{st} + v_s\cdot\nabla v_s) - \mu\Delta v_s - \nu\nabla\mathrm{div}\, v_s + \nabla p_s = \varrho_s f_s && \text{in } \ \Omega^T,\\
&\varrho_{st} + \mathrm{div}\,(\varrho_s v_s) = 0 && \text{in } \ \Omega^T,\\
&\varrho_s|_{t=0} = \varrho_{s0}, \quad v_s|_{t=0} = v_{s0} && \text{in } \ \Omega,\\
&v_s|_S = 0 && \text{on } \ S^T.
\end{aligned}
\tag{1.3}
$$

Then we are looking for solutions to problem (1.1) in the form

$$
v = v_s + u, \quad \varrho = \varrho_s + \eta, \quad p = p_s + q, \quad f = f_s + g, \tag{1.4}
$$

where u, η, q are solutions to the problem

$$\begin{aligned}&\varrho_s(u_t+v_s\cdot\nabla_u)-\mu\Delta u-\nu\nabla\mathrm{div}\,u+\nabla q\\ &=\eta f_s+\varrho_s g-[\eta(v_{st}+(v_s+u)\cdot\nabla v_s)+\varrho_s u\cdot\nabla v_s]\quad\text{in}\ \ \Omega^T,\\ &\ \ -\eta u_t-[\eta(u+v_s)+\varrho_s u]\nabla u\equiv\bar f\end{aligned}\tag{1.5}$$

(1.6)
$$\eta_t+v_s\cdot\nabla\eta+\varrho_s\mathrm{div}\,u=-\eta\mathrm{div}\,v_s-u\cdot\nabla\varrho_s-u\cdot\nabla\varrho_s-\mathrm{div}\,(\eta u)\equiv\bar h\quad\text{in}\ \ \Omega^T,$$

$$\begin{aligned}&u|_{t=0}=u_0\equiv v_0-v_{s0},\quad \eta|_{t=0}=\eta_0\equiv\varrho_0-\varrho_{s0}&&\text{in}\ \ \Omega,\\ &u|_S=0&&\text{on}\ \ S^T.\end{aligned}\tag{1.7}$$

Equation (1.6) is not compatible with the main operator in (1.5), where ∇q appears. Therefore, we replace (1.6) by an equation for q.
Since $p=A\varrho^\varkappa$, equation $(1.1)_2$ yields

$$p_t+v\cdot\nabla p+\varkappa p\mathrm{div}\,v=0.\tag{1.8}$$

Similarly, for $p_s=A\varrho_s^\varkappa$ equation $(1.3)_2$ implies

$$p_{st}+v_s\cdot\nabla p_s+\varkappa p_s\mathrm{div}\,v_s=0.\tag{1.9}$$

Using definition of q from (1.4), equations (1.8) and (1.9) imply

$$\frac{1}{\varkappa p_s}(q_t+v_s\cdot\nabla q)+\mathrm{div}\,u=-\frac{u}{\varkappa p_s}\cdot\nabla(p_s+q)-\frac{q}{p_s}\mathrm{div}\,(v_s+u)\equiv\bar h.\tag{1.10}$$

For equations (1.5) and (1.10) we have the following initial and boundary conditions

$$u|_{t=0}=u_0,\quad q|_{t=0}=A(\varrho_0^\varkappa-\varrho_{s0}^\varkappa)\equiv q_0,\tag{1.11}$$

$$u|_S=0,\quad v_s|_S=0.\tag{1.12}$$

Our aim is to prove global existence of regular solutions to problem (1.5), (1.10)–(1.12) under assumption that u_0, q_0 are sufficiently small in appropriate norms and (ϱ_s,v_s) is sufficiently regular solution to problem (1.3). Since in equations (1.5) and (1.10) η and q appear together, we have to find relations between them. In view of formulas $q=p(\varrho)-p(\varrho_s)=A(\varrho^\varkappa-\varrho_s^\varkappa)$ and $\eta=\varrho-\varrho_s$ we have the relations

$$q=\varkappa A\tilde\varrho^{\varkappa-1}\eta\quad\text{and}\quad\eta=\frac{1}{\varkappa A}\tilde q^{1/\varkappa}q,\tag{1.13}$$

where $\tilde{\varrho} \in (\varrho, \varrho_s)$ and $\tilde{q} \in (p, p_s)$. To justify these formulas we need existence of positive constants ϱ_* and ϱ^* such that

$$\varrho_* \le \varrho_s \le \varrho^* \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2}\varrho_* \le \varrho \le 2\varrho^*. \tag{1.14}$$

The aim of this paper is to prove existence of global regular solutions to problem (1.5), (1.10)–(1.12) under assumptions that u_0, η_0, g are sufficiently small in corresponding norms and (ϱ_s, v_s) is a sufficiently regular solution to (1.2). Hence, we also prove existence of global regular solutions to (1.1) which remain sufficiently close to special solutions to (1.2) for all time. In Section 3 we prove existence of local regular solutions to (1.5), (1.10)–(1.12) by the method of successive approximations. Moreover, the existence is proved in such form that the existence time is inversely proportional to the corresponding norms of the initial data and the external force g. We derived in Section 4 differential inequality (4.82) which makes possible an extension of the local solution step by step in time. Notation is introduced in Section 2.

Now we formulate the main results of this paper.

Let $\Gamma^k_{k-1}(\Omega) = \{u: \ u \in H^k(\Omega), u_t \in H^{k-1}(\Omega)\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 1.1. *(see [CK]) Assume that there exists a global special solution* (ϱ_s, v_s) *to problem (1.3) belonging to space* $\bar{X}(\Omega\times(kT,(k+1)T))$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *(see definition below). Moreover, the solution is such that there exists positive constants* $\varrho_* < \varrho^*$ *and* $\varrho_* \le \varrho_s(x,t) \le \varrho^*$.

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{X}(\Omega \times (kT,(k+1)T)) = \{(\varrho, v):& \\ \|\varrho, v\|_{\bar{X}(\Omega\times(kT,(k+1)T))} &= \|\varrho, v\|_{L_\infty(kT,(k+1)T;\Gamma^2_1(\Omega))} \\ + \quad & \|v_{,tt}\|_{L_\infty(kT,(k+1)T;L_2(\Omega))} < \infty\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\|\varrho, v\|_H = \|\varrho\|_H + \|v\|_H$ and H can be any space used in this paper.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi_1(t) = \|u(t), q(t)\|^2_{\Gamma^2_1(\Omega)}, \\ &\Phi_1 = \|u(t)\|^2_{\Gamma^3_2(\Omega)} + \|q(t)\|^2_{\Gamma^2_1(\Omega)}, \\ &(u,q) \in \mathfrak{N}(\Omega \times (kT,(k+1)T)) \quad \text{if} \quad \sup_{kT\le t\le(k+1)T} \varphi_1(t) < \infty, \\ &(u,q) \in \mathfrak{M}(\Omega \times (kT,(k+1)T)) \quad \text{if} \quad \sup_{kT\le t\le(k+1)T} \varphi_1(t) + \int_{kT}^{(k+1)T} \Phi_1(t)dt < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.2. *[Global existence of solutions to (1.5), (1.10)–(1.12)] Let* $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *and* $T > 0$ *be given. Assume that* $\varrho_s, v_s \in \bar{X}(\Omega \times (kT, (k+1)T))$, $f_s, g \in L_\infty(kT, (k+1)T; \Gamma_0^1(\Omega))$. *Assume also that there exists a constant* γ *sufficiently small and* $\varphi_1(0) \le \gamma, \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}, \|g\|_{L_\infty(kT,(k+1)T;\Gamma_0^1(\Omega))} \le c\gamma$.
Then there exists a global solution to problem (1.5), (1.10)–(1.2) such that $(u, q) \in \mathfrak{M}(kT, (k+1)T; \Omega)$ *for any* $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *and* $\varphi_1(t) \le \gamma$, *for any* $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Theorem 1.3. *[Global existence of solutions to problem (1.1)]. Let there exists a special solution to (1.3) described by Remark 1.1. Let the assumptions of Theorem 1.2 hold. Then there exists a global regular solution to problem (1.1) in the form*

$$v = v_s + u, \quad \varrho = \varrho_s + \eta$$

such that $(u, \eta) \in \mathfrak{M}(\Omega \times (kT, (k+1)T))$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *and* $(v_s, \varrho_s) \in \bar{X}(\Omega \times (kT, (k+1)T))$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.
Moreover the following estimate holds

$$\sup_k \|v, \varrho\|_{\mathfrak{M}(\Omega\times(kT,(k+1)T))} \le cD\gamma + \sup_k \|v_s, \varrho_s\|_{\bar{X}(\Omega\times(kT,(k+1)T))}$$

where D *is a function of* $\sup_k \|\varrho_s, v_s\|_{\bar{X}(\Omega\times[kT,(k+1)T])}$
and $\sup_k \|f_s\|_{L_\infty(kT,(k+1);\Gamma_0^1(\Omega))}$ *(see Remark 4.9).*

2 Notation and auxiliary results

By $\|\cdot\|_{l,\Omega}$, $l \ge 0$ and $|\cdot|_{p,\Omega}$, $1 \le p \le \infty$, we denote the norms of the usual Sobolev spaces $W_2^l(\Omega) = H^l(\Omega)$ and $L_p(\Omega)$ spaces, respectively.
Next, we introduce the space $\Gamma_k^l(\Omega)$ of functions u with the finite norm

$$\|u\|_{\Gamma_k^l(\Omega)} = \sum_{i \le l-k} \|\partial_t^i u\|_{l-i,\Omega} \equiv |u|_{l,k,\Omega},$$

where $l > 0$ and $k \ge 0$, $k \le l$. In this paper we use that $l, k \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.
Let $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$ be given functions. Then

$$\|u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\|_X^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \|u_i\|_X^2,$$

where X is any used in this paper space.
For distinguish time dependence of functions we introduce the notation

$$\begin{aligned}\|u\|_{L_p(0,T;H^k(\Omega))} &= \|u\|_{k,p,\Omega^T},\\ \|u\|_{L_q(0,T;L_p(\Omega))} &= \langle u\rangle_{p,q,\Omega^T},\end{aligned}$$

where $p, q \in [1, \infty]$. Moreover,

$$\|u\|_{L_p(0,T;\Gamma_k^l(\Omega))} = |u|_{l,k,p,\Omega^T}.$$

The index Ω is always omitted. If Ω is replaced by a subdomain of Ω, we left it in the above notation. Finally, we present the compatibility conditions

$$\|u\|_{0,\Omega} = |u|_{2,\Omega}, \quad |u|_{l,k,\Omega} = \|u\|_{l,\Omega}, \quad |u|_{l,l,p,\Omega^T} = \|u\|_{l,p,\Omega^T}.$$

By c we denote the generic constant which changes its value from formula to formula. By φ we denote the generic function which is always positive increasing function of its arguments and it changes its form from formula to formula. Let w be any function in this paper. Then we denote $\partial_t w = w_{,t}$. For functions w_n, w_s we have $\partial_t w_n = w_{n,t}$, $\partial_t w_s = w_{s,t}$ and so on. Let $\alpha(u) = t^{1/2}\|u\|_{3,2,\Omega^t}$.
It is convenient to introduce the elliptic operator

$$Au = \mu\Delta u + \nu\nabla \mathrm{div}\, u. \tag{2.1}$$

From (1.9) we obtain the equation of continuity

$$\left(\frac{1}{p_s}\right)_{,t} + \mathrm{div}\left(\frac{v_s}{p_s}\right) = \frac{\varkappa+1}{p_s}\mathrm{div}\, v_s. \tag{2.2}$$

From continuity equations $(1.1)_2$ and $(1.3)_2$ we have $\frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega \varrho dx = 0$, $\frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega \varrho_s dx = 0$ so

$$\int\limits_\Omega \eta dx = \int\limits_\Omega (\varrho_0 - \varrho_{s0})dx \quad \text{for any} \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+. \tag{2.3}$$

Inequalities (1.13) and (1.14) imply

$$|\eta|_{p,\Omega} \le c_1(\varrho_*, \varrho^*)|q|_{p,\Omega}, \quad |q|_{p,\Omega} \le c_2(\varrho_*, \varrho^*)|\eta|_{p,\Omega}. \tag{2.4}$$

To obtain an estimate for spatial derivatives higher than the first we need local considerations. For this we introduce a partition of unity $(\{\tilde\Omega_i\}, \{\zeta_i\})$, where $\Omega = \bigcup_i \tilde\Omega_i$ and $\tilde\Omega_i = \mathrm{supp}\zeta_i$. Let $\tilde\Omega$ be one of the $\tilde\Omega_i's$ and $\zeta(x) = \zeta_i(x)$ the corresponding function. If $\tilde\Omega$ is an interior subdomain, then let $\tilde\omega$ be such that $\bar{\tilde\omega} \subset \tilde\Omega$ and $\zeta(x) = 1$ for $x \in \tilde\omega$. Otherwise we assume that $\bar{\tilde\Omega} \cap S \ne \phi$, $\bar{\tilde\omega} \cap S \ne \phi$, $\bar{\tilde\omega} \subset \bar{\tilde\Omega}$. Let $\xi \in \bar{\tilde\omega} \cap S \subset \bar{\tilde\Omega} \cap S \equiv \tilde S$. Then we introduce new coordinates $y = Y(x)$ with origin at ξ. The mapping Y is a composition of translation and rotation. Assume that $\tilde S$ in the coordinates y is described by $y_3 = F(y_1, y_2)$, where F is sufficiently regular. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde\Omega = \{y:\ |y_i| < 2\lambda, i = 1, 2, F(y') < y_3 < F(y') + 2\lambda, y' = (y_1, y_2)\},\\
&\tilde\omega = \{y:\ |y_i| < \lambda, i = 1, 2, F(y') < y_3 < F(y') + \lambda, y' = (y_1, y_2)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Further, we introduce new variables by

$$z_i = y_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad z_3 = y_3 - \tilde{F}(y'), \quad y \in \tilde{\Omega},$$

which will be denoted by $z = \Phi(y)$, where $\tilde{F}$ is an extension of F to $\tilde{\Omega}$. Let

$$\hat{\Omega} = \Phi(\tilde{\Omega}) = \{z : \ |z_i| < 2\lambda, i = 1, 2, 0 < z_3 < 2\lambda\} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{S} = \Phi(\tilde{S}).$$

Hence $\hat{S} = \{z : \ z_3 = 0, |z_i| < 2\lambda, i = 1, 2\}$.
Let $\Psi = \Phi \circ Y$. Then we introduce the notation $\hat{\nabla}_k = \frac{\partial z_i}{\partial x_k} \nabla_{z_i}|_{x=\Psi^{-1}(z)}$. Moreover, for interior subdomains we denote $\tilde{u}(x) = u(x)\zeta(x)$, $x \in \tilde{\Omega}$, $\tilde{\Omega} \cap S = \phi$, and for boundary subdomains we have $\tilde{u}(z) = \hat{u}(z)\hat{\zeta}(z)$, $z \in \hat{\Omega} = \Psi(\tilde{\Omega})$, $\tilde{\Omega} \cap S \neq \phi$, where $\hat{u}(z) = u(\Psi^{-1}(z))$.
We use such notation that τ replaces $z' = (z_1, z_2)$ and $n = z_3$. Now we transform equations (1.5) and (1.10) to the local coordinates (we restrict the considerations to neighborhoods near the boundary only)

$$\hat{\varrho}_s \tilde{u}_t + \hat{\varrho}_s \hat{v}_s \cdot \hat{\nabla} \tilde{u} - \mu \Delta_z \tilde{u} - \nu \nabla_z \mathrm{div}_z \tilde{u} + \nabla_z \tilde{q} = \tilde{\bar{f}} + k_1, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\frac{1}{\varkappa \hat{p}_s} (\tilde{q}_t + \hat{v}_s \cdot \hat{\nabla} \tilde{q}) + \mathrm{div}_z \tilde{u} = \tilde{\bar{h}} + k_2, \tag{2.6}$$

where ∇_z, Δ_z, div_z means the usual operators with respect to variables z, $k_i = k_{i1} + k_{i2}$, $i = 1, 2$, and

$$k_{11} = (\nabla_z - \hat{\nabla})\tilde{q} - \mu(\Delta_z - \hat{\Delta})\tilde{u} - \nu(\nabla_z \mathrm{div}_z - \hat{\nabla} \widehat{\mathrm{div}})\tilde{u} \tag{2.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned} k_{12} = {} & \hat{q} \hat{\nabla} \hat{\zeta} - \mu(2 \hat{\nabla}_k \hat{u} \hat{\nabla}_k \hat{\zeta} + \hat{u} \hat{\Delta} \hat{\zeta}) - \nu(\widehat{\mathrm{div}}\, \hat{u} \hat{\nabla} \hat{\zeta} \\ & + \hat{\nabla} \hat{u}_k \hat{\nabla}_k \hat{\zeta} + \hat{u}_k \hat{\nabla} \hat{\nabla}_k \hat{\zeta}) \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

$$k_{21} = (\mathrm{div}_z - \widehat{\mathrm{div}})\tilde{u}, \tag{2.9}$$

$$k_{22} = (\hat{q}/\varkappa \hat{p}_s) \hat{v}_s \cdot \hat{\nabla} \hat{\zeta} + \hat{u} \cdot \hat{\nabla} \hat{\zeta}, \tag{2.10}$$

where the summation convention over repeated indices is assumed. Finally

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\bar{f}} = {} & \hat{\eta} \tilde{f}_s + \hat{\varrho}_s \tilde{g} - [\hat{\eta}(\hat{v}_{st} + (\hat{v}_s + \hat{u}) \cdot \hat{\nabla} \hat{v}_s) + \hat{\varrho}_s \hat{u} \cdot \hat{\nabla} \hat{v}_s] \hat{\zeta} \\ & - \hat{\eta} \tilde{u}_t - [\hat{\eta}(\hat{u} + \hat{v}_s) + \hat{\varrho}_s \hat{u}] \cdot \hat{\nabla} \hat{u} \hat{\zeta} \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

and

$$\tilde{\tilde{h}} = -\frac{\hat u}{\varkappa \hat p_s}\cdot \hat\nabla(\hat p_s + \hat q)\hat\zeta - \frac{\tilde q}{\hat p_s}\hat{\mathrm{div}}\,(\hat v_s + \hat u). \tag{2.12}$$

Applying operator $(\mu+\nu)\nabla_z$ to (2.6) and adding the result to (2.5) one has

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{(\mu+\nu)}{\varkappa}\nabla_z\frac{1}{\hat p_s}\tilde q_t + \nabla_z\tilde q = \mu(\Delta_z\tilde u - \nabla_z\mathrm{div}\,_z\tilde u) - \hat\varrho_s\tilde u_t - \hat\varrho_s\hat v_s\cdot\hat\nabla\tilde u\\ &\quad + \tilde{\tilde{f}} + k_1 - \frac{\mu+\nu}{\varkappa}\nabla_z\left(\frac{1}{\hat p_s}\hat v_s\cdot\hat\nabla\tilde q\right) + (\mu+\nu)(\nabla_z\tilde{\tilde{h}} + \nabla_z k_2). \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Moreover, we express (2.5) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mu+\nu)\nabla_z\mathrm{div}\,_z\tilde u = -\mu(\Delta_z\tilde u - \nabla_z\mathrm{div}\,_z\tilde u) + \hat\varrho_s\tilde u_t + \hat\varrho_s\hat v_s\cdot\nabla\tilde u\\ &\quad + \nabla_z\tilde q - \tilde{\tilde{f}} - k_1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Finally, we introduce the notation used in this paper

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi_1 = |u(t)|_{2,1}^2 + |q(t)|_{2,1}^2, \quad \tilde\varphi_1 = |\tilde u(t)|_{2,1}^2 + |\tilde q(t)|_{2,1}^2,\\ &\hat\varphi_1 = |\hat u(t)|_{2,1,\hat\Omega}^2 + |\hat q(t)|_{2,1,\hat\Omega}^2,\\ &\Phi_1 = |u(t)|_{3,2}^2, \quad \tilde\Phi_1 = |\tilde u(t)|_{3,2}^2, \quad \hat\Phi_1 = |\hat u(t)|_{3,2,\hat\Omega}^2,\\ &A_1 = |v_s(t)|_{2,1}^2 + |\varrho_s(t)|_{2,1}^2, \quad \tilde A_1 = |\tilde v_s(t)|_{2,1}^2 + |\tilde\varrho_s(t)|_{2,1}^2,\\ &\hat A_1 = |\hat v_s(t)|_{2,1,\hat\Omega}^2 + |\hat\varrho_s(t)|_{2,1,\hat\Omega}^2,\\ &A_2 = \|v_s(t)\|_3^2 + \|\varrho_s(t)\|_3^2, \quad \tilde A_2 = \|\tilde v_s(t)\|_3^2 + \|\tilde\varrho_s(t)\|_3^2,\\ &\hat A_2 = \|\hat v_s(t)\|_{3,\hat\Omega}^2 + \|\hat\varrho_s(t)\|_{3,\hat\Omega}^2,\\ &A_3 = \|v_{st}(t)\|_2^2 + \|\varrho_{st}(t)\|_2^2,\\ &A_4 = A_2 + A_3 + \|v_{stt}\|_0^2 \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Remark 2.1. *Let A be the elliptic operator*

$$Au = \mu\Delta u + \nu\nabla\mathrm{div}\,u.$$

For $u, v \in H^2(\Omega)\cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ we have the integration by parts formula

$$-\int\limits_\Omega v\cdot Au dx = \int\limits_\Omega (\mu\nabla v\cdot\nabla u + \nu\mathrm{div}\,v\mathrm{div}\,u)dx \equiv \int\limits_\Omega A^{1/2}v\cdot A^{1/2}u dx,$$

where

$$A^{1/2}u = (\sqrt{\mu}\nabla u, \sqrt{\nu}\mathrm{div}\,u).$$

From [BIN, Sect. 15] we have the interpolation

$$|u|_3 \le \varepsilon^{1/2}|u_{,x}|_2 + c\varepsilon^{-1/2}|u|_2, \tag{2.16}$$

where $\varepsilon\in(0,1)$. We frequently use the interpolation

$$\|u\|_s \le \varepsilon\|u\|_{s+k} + c/\varepsilon\|u\|_0, \quad s,k\in\mathbb{N}, \quad s+k\le 3, \quad \varepsilon\in(0,1). \tag{2.17}$$

3 Local existence

To prove local existence of solutions to problem (1.5), (1.10)–(1.12) we formulate it in the form

$$\begin{aligned}\varrho u_t - \mu\Delta u - \nu\nabla\mathrm{div}\, u &= \eta f_s + \varrho_s g - \nabla q - [\eta(v_{s,t} + (v_s + u)\cdot\nabla v_s)\\ &\quad + \varrho_s u\cdot\nabla v_s] - [\eta(u+v_s) + \varrho_s u]\nabla u,\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

$$q_t + v\cdot\nabla q = -u\cdot\nabla p_s - \varkappa q\mathrm{div}\,(v_s+u) - \varkappa p_s\mathrm{div}\, u, \tag{3.2}$$

$$u|_{t=0} = u(0), \quad q|_{t=0} = q(0) \tag{3.3}$$

$$u|_S = 0, \quad v_s|_S = 0, \tag{3.4}$$

where $\varrho = \varrho_s + \eta$, $v = v_s + u$, $p = p_s + q$.
Moreover, it is convenient to consider the equation

$$\eta_t + v\cdot\nabla\eta + \eta\mathrm{div}\, v = -\varrho_s\mathrm{div}\, u - u\cdot\nabla\varrho_s. \tag{3.5}$$

To prove existence of solutions to problem (3.1)–(3.5) we use the following method of successive approximations. Let u_n be given. Then η_n, q_n, u_{n+1} are calculated from the following problem

$$\begin{aligned}\varrho_n u_{n+1,t} - \mu\Delta u_{n+1} - \nu\nabla\mathrm{div}\, u_{n+1} &= \eta_n f_s + \varrho_s g - \nabla q_n\\ &\quad - [\eta_n v_{s,t} + \eta_n(v_s+u_n)\cdot\nabla v_s + \varrho_s u_n\cdot\nabla v_s]\\ &\quad - [\eta_n(v_s+u_n) + \varrho_s u_n]\nabla u_n,\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

$$q_{n,t} + v_n\cdot\nabla q_n = -u_n\cdot\nabla p_s - \varkappa q_n\mathrm{div}\,(v_s+u_n) - \varkappa p_s\mathrm{div}\, u_n, \tag{3.7}$$

$$\eta_{n,t} + v_s\cdot\nabla\eta_n = -\eta_n\mathrm{div}\,(v_s+u_n) - \varrho_s\mathrm{div}\, u_n - u_n\cdot\nabla\varrho_s, \tag{3.8}$$

$$u_{n+1}|_{t=0} = u(0), \quad q_n|_{t=0} = q(0), \quad \eta_n|_{t=0} = \eta(0), \tag{3.9}$$

$$u_{n+1}|_S = 0, \quad v_s|_S = 0, \tag{3.10}$$

where $v_n = v_s + u_n$, $\varrho_n = \varrho_s + \eta_n$, $q_n = A(\varrho_n^\varkappa - \varrho_s^\varkappa)$.
The u_0 approximation is an extension of the initial data $u(0)$.
To formulate results of this section we introduce the notation

$$\begin{aligned}&X_1(u_1,\dots,u_k,t) = \|u_1(t),\dots,u_k(t)\|_{2,\Omega},\\ &\bar X_1(u_1,\dots,u_k,t) = \|u_1,\dots,u_k\|_{2,\infty,\Omega^t},\\ &X_2(u_1,\dots,u_k,t) = |u_1(t),\dots,u_k(t)|_{2,1,\Omega},\\ &\bar X_2(u_1,\dots,u_k,t) = |u_1,\dots,u_k|_{2,1,\infty,\Omega^t},\\ &\alpha(u) = t^{1/2}\|u\|_{3,2,\Omega^t}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that* $\eta(0)\in H^2(\Omega)$*,* $\varrho_s\in L_\infty(0,t;H^3(\Omega))$*,* $v_s,u_n\in L_2(0,t;H^3(\Omega))$*. Then*

$$\|\eta_n\|_2^2\le\exp[c\alpha(v_n)][\|\varrho_s\|_{3,\infty,\Omega^t}\alpha(u_n)+\|\eta(0)\|_2]. \tag{3.12}$$

Assume that there exists positive constants ϱ_**,* ϱ^* *such that* $\varrho_*\le\varrho(0)\le\varrho^*$*. Then for a sufficiently small time and* $\|\eta(0)\|_2$ *we have that*

$$\frac{1}{2}\varrho_*\le\varrho_n(t)\le2\varrho^*. \tag{3.13}$$

Proof. Multiplying (3.8) by η_n and integrating over Ω yields

$$\frac{d}{dt}|\eta_n|_2\le c|v_{n,x}|_\infty|\eta_n|_2+c\|\varrho_s\|_1\|u_n\|_2. \tag{3.14}$$

Differentiating (3.8) with respect to x, multiplying by $\eta_{n,x}$ and integrating over Ω, gives

$$\frac{d}{dt}|\eta_{n,x}|_2\le c\|v_n\|_3\|\eta_n\|_1+c\|\varrho_s\|_2\|u_n\|_2. \tag{3.15}$$

Differentiating (3.8) twice with respect to x, multiplying by $\eta_{n,xx}$ and integrating over Ω implies

$$\frac{d}{dt}|\eta_{n,xx}|_2\le c\|v_n\|_3\|\eta_n\|_2+c\|\varrho_s\|_3\|u_n\|_3. \tag{3.16}$$

Adding (3.14)–(3.16), integrating the result with respect to time, we obtain (3.12). Having (3.12) yields (3.13). This concludes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that* $g\in L_2(\Omega^t)$*,* $f_s\in L_{2,\infty}(\Omega^t)$*,* $u(0)\in L_2(\Omega)$*,* $\varrho_s,\eta_n\in L_\infty(0,t;\Gamma_1^2(\Omega))$*,* $v_s,u_n\in L_\infty(0,t;H^2(\Omega))$*,* $v_{s,t}\in L_{2,\infty}(\Omega^t)$*. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
&|u_{n+1}(t)|_2^2+\|u_{n+1}\|_{1,2,\Omega^t}^2\le c\exp t(\bar X_2(\varrho_s)+\bar X_2(\eta_n))\cdot\\
&\quad\cdot[t\|\eta_n\|_{1,\infty,\Omega^t}^2\|f_s\|_{0,\infty,\Omega^t}^2+\|\varrho_s\|_{1,\infty,\Omega^t}^2\|g\|_{0,2,\Omega^t}^2\\
&\quad+t\|\eta_n\|_{1,\infty,\Omega^t}^2(1+\|v_{s,t}\|_{0,\infty,\Omega^t}^2)+t(\bar X_1^2(\varrho_s,v_s)+\bar X_1^2(\eta_n,u_n))^2\bar X_1^2(\eta_n,u_n)\\
&\quad+|u(0)|_2^2],
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

$\bar X_1$*,* $\bar X_2$ *are defined in (3.11).*

Proof. Multiplying (3.6) by u_{n+1}, integrating the result over Ω and applying the Hölder and the Young inequalities, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\int\limits_\Omega\varrho_n\partial_tu_{n+1}^2dx+\|u_{n+1}\|_1^2\le c[\|\eta_n\|_1^2\|f_s\|_0^2+\|\varrho_s\|_1^2\|g\|_0^2\\
&\quad+\|\eta_n\|_0^2]+c[\|\eta_n\|_1^2|v_{s,t}|_2^2+\|\eta_n\|_1^2(\|v_s\|_1^2+\|u_n\|_1^2)\|v_s\|_1^2]\\
&\quad+c[\|\eta_n\|_1^2(\|u_n\|_1^2+\|v_s\|_1^2)+\|\varrho_s\|_1^2\|u_n\|_1^2]\|u_n\|_1^2\\
&\le c[\|\eta_n\|_1^2\|f_s\|_0^2+\|\varrho_s\|_1^2\|g\|_0^2+\|\eta_n\|_0^2]+c\|\eta_n\|_1^2|v_{s,t}|_2^2\\
&\quad+c(X_1^2(\varrho_s,v_s)+X_1^2(\eta_n,u_n))^2X_1^2(\eta_n,u_n).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

The first term on the l.h.s. of (3.18) equals

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_\Omega \varrho_n u_{n+1}^2 dx - \int\limits_\Omega \varrho_{n,t} u_{n+1}^2 dx,$$

where the second term is bounded by

$$\varepsilon|u_{n+1}|_6^2 + c/\varepsilon(|\varrho_{s,t}|_3^2 + |\eta_{n,t}|_3^2)|u_{n+1}|_2^2.$$

Employing the consideration in (3.18), using that ϱ_n is separeted from zero (see Lemma 3.1) we obtain after integration with respect to time inequality (3.17). This concludes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 3.3. *Assume that* $\varrho_s, v_s, \eta_n, u_n \in L_\infty(0,t;\Gamma_1^2(\Omega))$, $v_{s,tt} \in L_\infty(0,t;L_2(\Omega))$, $f_s \in L_\infty(0,t;\Gamma_0^1(\Omega))$, $g \in L_2(0,t;\Gamma_0^1(\Omega))$, $u_t(0) \in L_2(\Omega)$. *Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
&|u_{n+1,t}(t)|_2^2 + \|u_{n+1,t}\|_{1,2,\Omega^t}^2 \le c\exp[t(|\varrho_s|^2_{2,1,\infty,\Omega^t} + |\eta_n|^2_{2,1,\infty,\Omega^t})]\\
&\quad\cdot c\big[t|\eta_n|^2_{2,1,\infty,\Omega^t}(1+|f_s|^2_{1,0,\infty,\Omega^t}) + |\varrho_s|^2_{2,1,\infty,\Omega^t}|g|^2_{1,0,2,\Omega^t}\\
&\quad+ ct\big[|\eta_n|^2_{2,1,\infty,\Omega^t}\|v_{s,tt}\|^2_{0,\infty,\Omega^t} + \bar X_2^2(\varrho_s,v_s)\bar X_2^2(\eta_n,u_n)\\
&\quad+ (\bar X_2^2(\varrho_s,v_s)\bar X_2^2(\eta_n,u_n) + \bar X_2^4(\varrho_s,v_s) + \bar X_2^4(\eta_n,u_n))\bar X_2^2(\eta_n u_n)\big]\\
&\quad+ |u_{,t}(0)|_2^2\big].
\end{aligned}\tag{3.19}$$

Proof. Differentiating (3.6) with respect to t, multiplying the result by $u_{n+1,t}$ and integrating over Ω yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int\limits_\Omega (\varrho_{n,t}u_{n+1,t} + \varrho_n u_{n+1,tt} - Au_{n+1,t})\cdot u_{n+1,t}dx\\
&= \int\limits_\Omega (\eta_n f_s + \varrho_s g)\cdot u_{n+1,t}dx - \int\limits_\Omega \nabla q_{n,t}\cdot u_{n+1,t}dx\\
&\quad- \int\limits_\Omega [\eta_n v_{s,t} + \eta_n(v_s+u_n)\nabla v_s + \varrho_s u_n\cdot\nabla v_s]_{,t}\cdot u_{n+1,t}dx\\
&\quad- \int\limits_\Omega ([\eta_n(v_s+u_n) + \varrho_s u_n]\nabla u_n)_{,t}\cdot u_{n+1,t}dx.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.20}$$

First we estimate the l.h.s. of (3.20). The first term equals

$$\bigg|\int\limits_\Omega \varrho_{n,t}u_{n+1,t}^2 dx\bigg| \le \varepsilon|u_{n+1,t}|_6^2 + c/\varepsilon(\|\varrho_{s,t}\|_1^2 + \|\eta_{n,t}\|_1^2)|u_{n+1,t}|_2^2,$$

the second implies

$$\frac{1}{2}\int\limits_\Omega \varrho_n \frac{\partial}{\partial t}|u_{n+1,t}|^2 dx = \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_\Omega \varrho_n u_{n+1,t}^2 dx - \frac{1}{2}\int\limits_\Omega \varrho_{n,t} u_{n+1,t}^2 dx,$$

where the last integral is estimated above. Finally, the last term on the l.h.s. equals

$$\|u_{n+1,t}\|_1^2.$$

The first term on the r.h.s. is bounded by

$$\varepsilon|u_{n+1,t}|_6^2 + c/\varepsilon(|\eta_n|_{2,1}^2|f_s|_{1,0}^2 + |\varrho_s|_{2,1}^2|g|_{1,0}^2)$$

the second by

$$\varepsilon|u_{n+1,xt}|_2^2 + c/\varepsilon|\eta_{n,t}|_2^2$$

and the last two by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varepsilon|u_{n+1,t}|_6^2 + c/\varepsilon[|\eta_n|_{2,1}^2|v_{s,tt}|_2^2 + |\eta_n|_{2,1}|^2\|v_{s,t}\|_1^2 + |\eta_n|_{2,1}^2(|v_s|_{2,1}^2 + |u_n|_{2,1}^2)|v_s|_{2,1}^2\\
&\quad + |\varrho_s|_{2,1}^2|u_n|_{2,1}^2|v_s|_{2,1}^2 + |\eta_n|_{2,1}^2(|v_s|_{2,1}^2 + |u_n|_{2,1}^2)|u_n|_{2,1}^2 + |\varrho_s|_{2,1}^2|u_n|_{2,1}^4]\\
&\le \varepsilon|u_{n+1,t}|_6^2 + c/\varepsilon[|\eta_n|_{2,1}^2|v_{s,tt}|_2^2 + |\eta_n|_{2,1}^2|v_s|_{2,1}^2 + (X_2^4(\varrho_s, v_s)\\
&\quad + X_2^2(\varrho_s, v_s)X_2^2(\eta_n, u_n) + X_2^4(\eta_n, u_n))X_2^2(\eta_n, u_n)].
\end{aligned}$$

Using the above estimates in (3.20) and assuming that ε is sufficiently small, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_\Omega \varrho_n u_{n+1,t}^2 dx + \|u_{n+1,t}\|_1^2 \le c(\|\varrho_{s,t}\|_1^2 + \|\eta_{n,t}\|_1^2)|u_{n+1,t}|_2^2\\
&\quad + c(|\eta_{n,t}|_2^2 + |\eta_n|_{2,1}^2|f_s|_{1,0}^2 + |\varrho_s|_{2,1}^2|g|_{1,0}^2)\\
&\quad + c[|\eta_n|_{2,1}^2|v_{s,tt}|_2^2 + X_2^2(\varrho_s, v_s)X_2^2(\eta_n, u_n) + (X_2^4(\varrho_s, v_s)\\
&\quad + X_2^2(\varrho_s, v_s)X_2^2(\eta_n, u_n) + X_2^4(\eta_n, u_n))X_2^2(\eta_n, u_n)].
\end{aligned}\tag{3.21}$$

in view of(3.13) and integration (3.21) with respect to time we get (3.19). This concludes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 3.4. *Assume that* $u_{n+1} \in L_2(0,t;H^3(\Omega))$, $\varrho_s, \eta_n, u_n \in L_\infty(0,t;\Gamma_1^2(\Omega))$, $v_s \in L_\infty(0,t;\Gamma_0^2(\Omega))$, $f_s \in L_\infty(0,t;\Gamma_0^1(\Omega))$, $g \in L_2(0,t;\Gamma_0^1(\Omega))$, $u(0) \in L_2(\Omega)$, $u_t(0) \in H^1(\Omega)$.
Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|u_{n+1,t}(t)\|_1^2 + \|u_{n+1,t}\|_{2,2,\Omega^t}^2 \le \varepsilon\|u_{n+1}{}_{3,2,\Omega^t}^2\\
&\quad + \varphi\bigg(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}, t^a\bar{X}_2(\eta_n, u_n), \bar{X}_2(\varrho_s, v_s), |f_s|_{1,0,\infty,\Omega^t}, |v_s|_{2,0,\infty,\Omega^t}\bigg)\cdot\\
&\quad \cdot[t^a\bar{X}_2^2(\eta_n, u_n) + |g|_{1,0,2,\Omega^t}^2 + |u(0)|_2^2 + \|u_{,t}(0)\|_1^2],
\end{aligned}\tag{3.22}$$

where $a > 0$ *and* $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$.

Proof. Differentiate (3.6) with respect to time, multiply the result by $-Au_{n+1,t}$ and integrate over Ω. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\int\limits_\Omega (\varrho_{n,t}u_{n+1,t}+\varrho_n u_{n+1,tt})\cdot Au_{n+1,t}dx+\int\limits_\Omega |Au_{n+1,t}|^2dx\\
&=-\int\limits_\Omega (\eta_n f_s+\varrho_s g)_{,t}\cdot Au_{n+1,t}dx+\int\limits_\Omega \nabla q_{n,t}\cdot Au_{n+1,t}dx\\
&\quad+\int\limits_\Omega [\eta_n v_{s,t}+\eta_n(v_s+u)\cdot\nabla v_s+\varrho_s u_n\cdot\nabla v_s]_{,t}\cdot Au_{n+1,t}dx\\
&\quad+\int\limits_\Omega ([\eta_n(v_s+u_n)+\varrho_s u_n]\nabla u_n)_{,t}\cdot Au_{n+1,t}dx.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.23}$$

Now we estimate the terms from (3.23). The first part of the first integral on the l.h.s. of (3.23) is bounded by

$$\bigg|\int\limits_\Omega \varrho_{n,t}u_{n+1,t}\cdot Au_{n+1,t}dx\bigg|\le\varepsilon|Au_{n+1,t}|_2^2+c/\varepsilon|\varrho_{n,t}|_6^2|u_{n+1,t}|_3^2\equiv I_1.$$

Using (2.16) we estimate the second term in I_1 by

$$\varepsilon_1|u_{n+1,xt}|_2^2+c\varepsilon_1|\varrho_{n,t}|_6^4|u_{n+1,t}|_2^2.$$

In view of the above estimates, application of the Hölder and the Young inequalities to the integrals from the r.h.s. of (3.23) and using the estimate

$$\|u\|_2\le c\|f\|_0\tag{3.24}$$

which holds for solutions to the problem

$$\begin{aligned}
&Au=f,\\
&u|_S=0,
\end{aligned}\tag{3.25}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\int\limits_\Omega \varrho_n u_{n+1,tt}\cdot Au_{n+1,t}dx+\|u_{n+1,t}\|_2^2\le c\|\varrho_{n,t}\|_1^2|u_{n+1,t}|_2^2\\
&\quad+c|(\eta_n f_s+\varrho_s g)_{,t}|_2^2+c|\nabla q_{n,t}|_2^2\\
&\quad+c|(\eta_n v_{s,t}+\eta_n(v_s+u_n)\cdot\nabla v_s+\varrho_s u_n\cdot\nabla v_s)_{,t}|_2^2\\
&\quad+c|[(\eta_n(v_s+u_n)+\varrho_s u_n)\cdot\nabla u_n]_{,t}|_2^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.26}$$

Now, we estimate terms from (3.26). Using the integration by parts formula (see Remark 2.1) the first integral on the l.h.s. of (3.26) takes the form

$$\int\limits_\Omega A^{1/2}\varrho_n u_{n+1,tt}A^{1/2}u_{n+1,t}dx+\int\limits_\Omega \varrho_n A^{1/2}u_{n+1,tt}A^{1/2}u_{n+1,t}dx. \tag{3.27}$$

The second integral in (3.27) equals

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\int\limits_\Omega \varrho_n\partial_t|A^{1/2}u_{n+1,t}|^2dx&=\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_\Omega \varrho_n|A^{1/2}u_{n+1,t}|^2dx\\ &-\frac{1}{2}\int\limits_\Omega \varrho_{n,t}|A^{1/2}u_{n+1,t}|^2dx\equiv I_1.\end{aligned}$$

the second integral in I_1 is bounded by

$$\varepsilon|A^{1/2}u_{n+1,t}|_6^2+c/\varepsilon|\varrho_{n,t}|_2^2|A^{1/2}u_{n+1,t}|_3^2\equiv I_2.$$

Using interpolation (2.16) we get

$$I_2\le\varepsilon\|u_{n+1,t}\|_2^2+\varepsilon_1 c/\varepsilon\|u_{n+1,t}\|_2^2+c/\varepsilon\varepsilon_1|\varrho_{n,t}|_2^4|u_{n+1,t}|_2^2.$$

The first integral in (3.27) is estimated by

$$\begin{aligned}&\varepsilon|u_{n+1,tt}|_2^2+c/\varepsilon|\varrho_{n,x}|_6^2|u_{n+1,xt}|_3^2\le\varepsilon|u_{n+1,tt}|_2^2+\varepsilon_1\|u_{n+1,t}\|_2^2\\ &\quad+c/\varepsilon\varepsilon_1\|\varrho_n\|_2^4|u_{n+1,t}|_2^2.\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

To estimate the first term in (3.28) we calculate $u_{n+1,tt}$ from (3.6). Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}u_{n+1,t}&=\frac{1}{\varrho_n}Au_{n+1}+\frac{1}{\varrho_n}(\eta_nf_s+\varrho_sg)-\frac{1}{\varrho_n}\nabla q_n-\frac{1}{\varrho_n}[\eta_nv_{s,t}\\ &+\eta_n(v_s+u_n)\cdot\nabla v_s+\varrho_su_n\cdot\nabla v_s]-\frac{1}{\varrho_n}[\eta_n(v_s+u_n)\\ &+\varrho_su_n]\cdot\nabla u_n.\end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

Continuing, we get

$$\begin{aligned}u_{n+1,tt}&=\left(\frac{1}{\varrho_n}Au_{n+1}\right)_{,t}+\left(\frac{1}{\varrho_n}(\eta_nf_s+\varrho_sg)\right)_t-\left(\frac{1}{\varrho_n}\nabla q_n\right)_t\\ &-\left(\frac{1}{\varrho_n}[\eta_nv_{s,t}+\eta_n(v_s+u_n)\cdot\nabla v_s+\varrho_su_n\cdot\nabla v_s]\right)_{,t}\\ &-\left(\frac{1}{\varrho_n}[\eta_n(v_s+u_n)+\varrho_su_n]\cdot\nabla u_n\right)_{,t}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

Taking, the L_2-norm of (3.30) ahnd using (3.13) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
|u_{n+1,tt}|_2^2 &\le c\|u_{n+1,t}\|_2^2 + c|\varrho_{n,t}|_6^2|Au_{n+1}|_3^2\\
&\quad + \varphi(|\varrho_s|_{2,1}, |\eta_n|_{2,1})[|\eta_n|_{2,1}^2|f_s|_{1,0}^2 + |g|_{1,0}^2 + |\eta_n|_{2,1}^2]\\
&\quad + \varphi(X_2(\varrho_s, v_s), X_2(\eta_n, u_n))[|v_s|_{2,0}^2 + X_2^2(\varrho_s, v_s)\\
&\quad + X_2^2(\eta_n, u_n)]X_2^2(\eta_n, u_n).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.31}
$$

Similarly, the r.h.s. of (3.26) is estimatede by the last two terms on the r.h.s. of (3.21).

Using the above estimates in (3.26) and assuming that ε, ε_1 are sufficiently small we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_\Omega \varrho_n|A^{1/2}u_{n+1,t}|^2dx + \|u_{n+1,t}\|_2^2\\
&\le \varepsilon_2\|u_{n+1}\|_3^2 + c|\varrho_n|_{2,1}^4(|u_{n+1,t}|_2^2 + c/\varepsilon_2|u_{n+1}|_2^2)\\
&\quad + \varphi(|\varrho_s|_{2,1}, |\eta_n|_{2,1})[|\eta_n|_{2,1}^2|f_s|_{1,0}^2 + |g|_{1,0}^2 + |\eta_n|_{2,1}^2]\\
&\quad + \varphi(X_2(\varrho_s, v_s), X_2(\eta_n, u_n))[|v_s|_{2,0}^2 + X_2^2(\varrho_s, v_s)\\
&\quad + X_2^2(\eta_n, u_n)]X_2^2(\eta_n, u_n).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.32}
$$

Using notation (3.11) we express (3.17) in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
|u_{n+1}(t)|_2^2 + \|u_{n+1}\|_{1,2,\Omega^t}^2 &\le \varphi(t^a\bar X_2(\eta_n, u_n), \bar X_2(\varrho_s, v_s),\\
&\|f_s\|_{0,\infty,\Omega^t})[t^a\bar X_2^2(\eta_n, u_n) + \|g\|_{0,2,\Omega^t}^2 + |u(0)|_2^2].
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.33}
$$

Similarly (3.19) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
|u_{n+1,t}(t)|_2^2 + \|u_{n+1,t}\|_{1,2,\Omega^t}^2 &\le \varphi(t^a\bar X_2(\eta_n, u_n), \bar X_2(\varrho_s, v_s),\\
&|f|_{1,0,\infty,\Omega^t})[t^a\bar X_2^2(\eta_n, u_n) + |g|_{1,0,2,\Omega^t}^2 + |u_t(0)|_2^2],
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.34}
$$

where $a > 0$. Integrating (3.32) with respect to time and using (3.33) and (3.34) we obtain (3.22). This concludes the proof. □

To estimate the first norm on the r.h.s. of (3.22) we need

Lemma 3.5. *Assume that*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&u_{n+1,t} \in L_2(0, t; H^2(\Omega)), \quad \varrho_s, v_s, \eta_n, u_n \in L_\infty(0, t; \Gamma_1^2(\Omega)),\\
&f_s \in L_\infty(0, t; H^1(\Omega)), \quad g \in L_2(0, t; H^1(\Omega)), \quad u(0) \in \Gamma_1^2(\Omega).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.35}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|u_{n+1}(t)\|_2^2 + \|u_{n+1}\|_{3,2,\Omega^t}^2 \le \varepsilon\|u_{n+1,t}\|_{2,2,\Omega^t}^2\\
&\quad + \exp(t\bar X_2(\varrho_s, \eta_n))\frac{1}{\varepsilon}[\varphi(t^a\bar X_2(\eta_n, u_n), \bar X_2(\varrho_s, v_s), \|f_s\|_{1,\infty,\Omega^t})\cdot\\
&\quad \cdot t^a\bar X_1(\eta_n, u_n)(1 + \|v_{s,t}\|_{0,\infty,\Omega^t}^2) + \|\varrho_s\|_{1,\infty,\Omega^t}^2\|g\|_{1,2,\Omega^t}^2 + |u(0)|_{2,1}^2].
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.36}
$$

Proof. To prove the lemma we have to use the local considerations. We restrict them to neighborhoods near the boundary, because estimates in interior subdomains are simpler and similar. In view of notation in Section 2 we transform (3.6) in accordance to (2.5). Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\hat\varrho_n\tilde u_{n+1,t} - A_z\tilde u_{n+1} = \hat\eta_n\tilde f_s + \hat\varrho_s\tilde g - \hat\nabla\hat q_n\hat\zeta\\
&\quad - [\hat\eta_n\hat v_{s,t} + \hat\eta_n(\hat v_s + \hat u_n)\cdot\hat\nabla\hat v_s + \hat\varrho_s\hat u_n\cdot\hat\nabla\hat v_s]\hat\zeta\\
&\quad - [\hat\eta_n(\hat v_s + \hat u_n) + \hat\varrho_s\hat u_n]\cdot\hat\nabla\hat u_n\hat\zeta + (\hat A_x - A_z)\tilde u_{n+1}\\
&\quad - [\mu(2\hat\nabla_k\hat u_{n+1}\hat\nabla_k\hat\zeta + \hat u_{n+1}\hat\Delta\hat\zeta) + \nu(\hat{\mathrm{div}}\,\hat u_{n+1}\hat\nabla\hat\zeta\\
&\quad + \hat\nabla\hat u_k\hat\nabla_k\hat\zeta + \hat u_k\hat\nabla\hat\nabla_k\hat\zeta)],
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.37}
$$

where $A_z = \mu\Delta_z + \nu\nabla_z\mathrm{div}\,_z$, $\hat A_x = \mu\hat\nabla_x^2 + \nu\hat\nabla_x\hat{\mathrm{div}}\,_x$
Differentiating (3.37) with respect to τ, multiplying the result by $-A_z\tilde u_{n+1,\tau}$, integrating over $\hat\Omega$ and by parts, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int\limits_{\hat\Omega} A_z^{1/2}(\hat\varrho_n\tilde u_{n+1,t})_{,\tau}A_z^{1/2}\tilde u_{n+1,\tau}dz + \int\limits_{\hat\Omega}|A_z\tilde u_{n+1,\tau}|^2dz\\
&= \int\limits_{\hat\Omega}[\hat\eta_n\tilde f_s + \hat\varrho_s\tilde g]_{,\tau}A_z\tilde u_{n+1,\tau}dz + \int\limits_{\hat\Omega}(\hat\nabla\hat q_n\hat\zeta)_{,\tau}\cdot A_z\tilde u_{n+1,\tau}dz\\
&\quad - \int\limits_{\hat\Omega}([\hat\eta_n\hat v_{s,t} + \hat\eta_n(\hat v_s + \hat u_n)\cdot\hat\nabla\hat v_s + \hat\varrho_s\hat u_n\cdot\hat\nabla\hat v_s]\hat\zeta)_{,\tau}A_z\tilde u_{n+1,\tau}dz\\
&\quad - \int\limits_{\hat\Omega}([\hat\eta_n(\hat v_s + \hat u_n) + \hat\varrho_s\hat u_n]\cdot\hat\nabla\hat u_n\hat\zeta)_{,\tau}A_z\tilde u_{n+1,\tau}dz\\
&\quad + \int\limits_{\hat\Omega}[(\hat A_x - A_z)\tilde u_{n+1}]_{,\tau}\cdot A_z\tilde u_{n+1,\tau}dz\\
&\quad - \int\limits_{\hat\Omega}[\mu(2\hat\nabla_k\hat u_{n+1}\hat\nabla_k\hat\zeta + \hat u_{n+1}\hat\Delta\hat\zeta) + \nu(\hat{\mathrm{div}}\,\hat u_{n+1}\hat\nabla\hat\zeta + \hat\nabla\hat u_{n+1,k}\hat\nabla_k\hat\zeta\\
&\quad + \hat u_{n+1,k}\hat\nabla\hat\nabla_k\hat\zeta)]_{,\tau}\cdot A_z\tilde u_{n+1,\tau}dx.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.38}
$$

The first term on the l.h.s. of (3.38) equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}\hat\varrho_nA^{1/2}\tilde u_{n+1,\tau t}\cdot A^{1/2}\tilde u_{n+1,\tau}dx + \int\limits_{\hat\Omega}[\hat\varrho_{n,\tau}A^{1/2}\tilde u_{n+1,t}\\
&\qquad + A^{1/2}\hat\varrho_n\tilde u_{n+1,\tau t} + A^{1/2}\hat\varrho_{n,\tau}\hat u_{n+1,t}]\cdot A^{1/2}\tilde u_{n+1,\tau}dz \equiv I,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the first term in I takes the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_1 &\equiv \frac{1}{2}\int\limits_{\hat\Omega} \hat\varrho_n \partial_t |A^{1/2}\tilde u_{n+1,\tau}|^2 dz\\
&= \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_{\hat\Omega} \hat\varrho_n |A^{1/2}\tilde u_{n+1,\tau}|^2 dz - \frac{1}{2}\int\limits_{\hat\Omega} \hat\varrho_{n,t} |A^{1/2}\tilde u_{n+1,\tau}|^2 dz,
\end{aligned}
$$

and the second term in I_1 is bounded by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&c|\hat\varrho_{n,t}|_3 |A^{1/2}\tilde u_{n+1,\tau}|_3^2 \le c\|\hat\varrho_{n,t}\|_1 \|\tilde u_{n+1,\tau}\|_2 \|\tilde u_{n+1,\tau}\|_1\\
&\le \varepsilon\|\tilde u_{n+1,\tau}\|_2^2 + c/\varepsilon \|\hat\varrho_{n,t}\|_1^2 \|\tilde u_{n+1,\tau}\|_1^2\\
&\le \varepsilon\|\tilde u_{n+1,\tau}\|_2^2 + \frac{c}{\varepsilon} \|\hat\varrho_{n,t}\|_1^2 \|\tilde u_{n+1,\tau}\|_2 \|\tilde u_{n+1,\tau}\|_0\\
&\le \varepsilon\|\tilde u_{n+1,\tau}\|_2^2 + c/\varepsilon \|\hat\varrho_{n,t}\|_1^4 \|\tilde u_{n+1,\tau}\|_0^2.
\end{aligned}
$$

We bound the second term in I by

$$
\varepsilon\|\tilde u_{n+1,\tau}\|_2^2 + c/\varepsilon \|\hat\varrho_n\|_2^2 \|\tilde u_{n+1,t}\|_1^2.
$$

Next, we examine the terms from the r.h.s. of (3.38). The first is bounded by

$$
\varepsilon\|A_z\tilde u_{n+1,\tau}\|_0^2 + c/\varepsilon(\|\hat\eta_n\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2 \|\tilde f_s\|_1^2 + \|\hat\varrho_s\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2 \|\tilde g\|_1^2),
$$

the second by

$$
\varepsilon\|A_z\tilde u_{n+1,\tau}\|_0^2 + c/\varepsilon \|\hat q_n\|_2^2,
$$

the third by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\varepsilon\|A_z\tilde u_{n+1,\tau}\|_0^2 + c/\varepsilon(\|\hat\eta_n\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2 |\hat v_s|_{2,1,\hat\Omega}^2 + \|\hat\eta_n\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2 (\|\hat v_s\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2 + \|\hat u_n\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2)\\
&\quad + \|\hat\varrho_s\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2 \|\hat u_n\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2 \|\hat v_s\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2),
\end{aligned}
$$

the fourth by

$$
\varepsilon\|A_z\tilde u_{n+1,\tau}\|_0^2 + c/\varepsilon[\|\hat\eta_n\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2 (\|\hat v_s\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2 + \|\hat u_n\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2) + \|\hat\varrho_s\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2 \|\hat u_n\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2] \cdot \|\hat u_n\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2.
$$

Finally, the last but one term by

$$
c\lambda\|\tilde u_{n+1,\tau}\|_2^2
$$

and the last by

$$
\varepsilon\|A_z\tilde u_{n+1,\tau}\|_0^2 + c/\varepsilon \|\hat u_{n+1}\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2.
$$

Employing the above estimates in (3.38) and assuming that ε is sufficiently small, we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}\hat\varrho_n|A_z^{1/2}\tilde u_{n+1,\tau}|^2dz+|A_z\tilde u_{n+1,\tau}|_2^2\\
&\le c(\|\hat\varrho_n\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2\|\tilde u_{n+1,t}\|_1^2+\|\hat\varrho_{n,t}\|_1^4\|\tilde u_{n+1,\tau}\|_0^2\\
&\quad+\|\hat\eta_n\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2\|\tilde f_s\|_1^2+\|\hat\varrho_s\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2\|\tilde g\|_1^2+\|\hat\eta_n\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2)\\
&\quad+\varphi(X_1(\hat\eta_n,\hat u_n),X_2(\hat\varrho_s,\hat v_s))X_1^2(\hat\eta_n,\hat u_n)+c\lambda\|\tilde u_{n+1,\tau}\|_2^2\\
&\quad+c\|\hat u_{n+1}\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.39}$$

From (3.37) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\tilde u_{n+1,zzz}\|_0^2\le c\|\tilde u_{n+1,zz\tau}\|_0^2+c[\|\hat\varrho_n\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2\|\tilde u_{n+1,t}\|_1^2\\
&\quad+\|\hat\eta_n\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2\|\tilde f_s\|_1^2+\|\hat\varrho_s\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2\|\tilde g\|_1^2+\|\hat\eta_n\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2]\\
&\quad+\varphi(X_1(\hat\eta_n,\hat u_n),X_2(\hat\varrho_s,\hat v_s))X_1^2(\hat\eta_n,\hat u_n)+c\lambda\|\tilde u_{n+1}\|_3^2\\
&\quad+c\|\hat u_{n+1}\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.40}$$

Integrating (3.39) and (3.40) with respect to time, adding, using that λ is sufficietnly small, using estimates (3.17) and (3.19), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}\hat\varrho_n|\tilde u_{n+1,\tau z}|^2dz+\|\tilde u_{n+1}\|_{3,2,\Omega^t}^2\\
&\le\varphi(t^a\bar X_1(\hat\eta_n,\hat u_n),\bar X_2(\hat\varrho_s,\hat v_s),\|\tilde f_s\|_{1,\infty,\Omega^t})t^a\bar X_1^2(\hat\eta_n,\hat u_n)\\
&\quad+c\|\hat\varrho_s\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2\|\tilde g\|_1^2+c\|\tilde u(0)\|_2^2+c\|\tilde u_t(0)\|_0^2+c\|\hat u_{n+1}\|_{2,2,\hat\Omega^t}^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.41}$$

Passing in neighborhoods near the boundary to the old variables x, deriving similar estimates in interior subdomains, summing up over all neighborhoods of the partition of unity and using the expression

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_{\Omega}u_{n+1,xx}^2dx\le\varepsilon\|u_{n+1,t}\|_2^2+c/\varepsilon\|u_{n+1,xx}\|_0^2$$

integrated with respect to time we obtain the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|u_{n+1}(t)\|_2^2+\|u_{n+1}\|_{3,2,\Omega^t}^2\le\varepsilon\|u_{n+1,t}\|_{2,2,\Omega^t}^2\\
&\quad+c/\varepsilon\|u_{n+1,xx}\|_{0,2,\Omega^t}^2+\varphi(t^a\bar X_2(\eta_n,u_n),\bar X_2(\varrho_s,v_s),\|f_s\|_{1,\infty,\Omega^t})\cdot\\
&\quad\cdot t^a\bar X_1^2(\eta_n,u_n)+c\|\varrho_s\|_{2,\infty,\Omega^t}^2\|g\|_{1,2,\Omega^t}^2+c|u(0)|_{2,1}^2,
\end{aligned}\tag{3.42}$$

where $a > 0$. Using the interpolation inequality (2.17) in the second norm on the r.h.s. of (3.42) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\|u_{n+1}(t)\|_2^2 + \|u_{n+1}\|_{3,2,\Omega^t}^2 \le \varepsilon\|u_{n+1,t}\|_{2,2,\Omega^t}^2 + c/\varepsilon\|u_{n+1}\|_{0,2,\Omega^t}^2\\ &\quad + \varphi(t^a\bar{X}_2(\eta_n,u_n),\bar{X}_2(\varrho_s,v_s),\|f_s\|_{1,\infty,\Omega^t})t^a\bar{X}_1^2(\eta_n,u_n)\\ &\quad + c\|\varrho_s\|_{2,\infty,\Omega^t}^2\|g\|_{1,2,\Omega^t}^2 + c|u(0)|_{2,1}^2.\end{aligned}\tag{3.43}$$

We use (3.17) to estimate the second norm on the r.h.s. of (3.43). Then (3.36) follows. This concludes the proof. ☐

Lemma 3.6. *Assume that*

$$\begin{aligned}&\varrho_s, v_s \in L_\infty(0,t;\Gamma_1^2(\Omega)) \cap L_\infty(0,t;H^3(\Omega)), \quad v_s \in L_\infty(0,t;\Gamma_0^2(\Omega)),\\ &f_s \in L_\infty(0,t;\Gamma_0^1(\Omega)), \quad g \in L_2(0,t;\Gamma_0^1(\Omega)), \quad \eta(0) \in H^2(\Omega),\\ &u(0) \in \Gamma_1^2(\Omega), \quad t \le T.\end{aligned}\tag{3.44}$$

Then there exists T_* sufficietntly small and M which depends on the norms from (3.44) that

$$|u_n(t)|_{2,1} + |u_n|_{3,2,2,\Omega^t} \le M, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad t \le T_*.\tag{3.45}$$

Proof. From (3.22) and (3.36) and for ε sufficiently small we derive the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}&|u_{n+1}(t)|_{2,1}^2 + |u_{n+1}|_{3,2,2,\Omega^t}^2 \le \varphi(t^a\bar{X}_2(\eta_n,u_n),\bar{X}_2(\varrho_s,v_s),\\ &|f_s|_{1,0,\infty,\Omega^t},|v_s|_{2,0,\infty,\Omega^t})[t^a\bar{X}_2^2(\eta_n,u_n) + |g|_{1,0,2,\Omega^T}^2 + |u(0)|_{2,1}^2],\end{aligned}\tag{3.46}$$

where $a > 0$.
From (3.8) we have

$$\|\eta_{n,t}\|_1 \le c(\|v_s\|_2\|\eta_n\|_2 + \|\varrho_s\|_2\|u_n\|_2 + \|\eta_n\|_2\|u_n\|_2).\tag{3.47}$$

Employing (3.47) in (3.46) and using (3.12) in the result we obtain the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}&|u_{n+1}(t)|_{2,1}^2 + |u_{n+1}|_{3,2,2,\Omega^t}^2 \le \varphi(\alpha(u_n),t^a|u_n|_{2,1,\infty,\Omega^t},\alpha(v_s),\\ &\bar{X}_2(\varrho_s,v_s),|f_s|_{1,0,\infty,\Omega^t},|v_s|_{2,0,\infty,\Omega^t})[\|\varrho_s\|_{3,\infty,\Omega^t}\alpha(u_n)\\ &\quad + \|\eta(0)\|_2^2 + |g|_{1,0,2,\Omega^t}^2 + |u(0)|_{2,1}^2].\end{aligned}\tag{3.48}$$

We assume that approximation u_0 is constructed by an extension of the initial data $u(0)$. Assume that (3.45) holds. Then (3.48) implies

$$\begin{aligned}&|u_{n+1}(t)|_{2,1}^2 + |u_{n+1}|_{3,2,2,\Omega^t}^2 \le \varphi(t^{1/2}M,t^aM,\alpha(v_s),\bar{X}_2(\varrho_s,v_s),\\ &|f_s|_{1,0,\infty,\Omega^t},|v_s|_{2,0,\infty,\Omega^t})[\|\varrho_s\|_{3,\infty,\Omega^t}t^{1/2}M + |g|_{1,0,2,\Omega^t}^2\\ &\quad + \|\eta(0)\|_2^2 + |u(0)|_{2,1}^2].\end{aligned}$$

If

$$M^2 > \varphi(0,0,0,\bar{X}_2(\varrho_s,v_s),|f_s|_{1,0,\infty,\Omega^t},|v_s|_{2,0,\infty,\Omega^t})[|g|^2_{1,0,2,\Omega^t} + \|\eta(0)\|_2^2 + |u(0)|^2_{2,1}]$$

we obtain for t sufficiently small that

$$|u_{n+1}(t)|^2_{2,1} + |u_{n+1}|^2_{3,2,2,\Omega^t} \le M^2,$$

because φ is an increasing positive continuous function. This implies (3.45) for all n and concludes the proof. □

To prove convergence of the considered sequence we introduce the differences

$$U_n = u_n - u_{n-1}, \quad E_n = \eta_n - \eta_{n-1}, \quad Q_n = q_n - q_{n-1}, \tag{3.49}$$

which are solutions to the problems

$$\begin{aligned}&\varrho_n U_{n+1,t} - \mu\Delta U_{n+1} - \nu\nabla\operatorname{div} U_{n+1} = -E_n u_{n,t}\\ &\quad + E_n f_s - \nabla Q_n - [E_n v_{s,t} + E_n v_s\cdot\nabla v_s + E_n v_n\cdot\nabla v_s\\ &\quad + \eta_{n-1}U_n\cdot\nabla v_s + \varrho_s U_n\cdot\nabla v_s] - [E_n(v_s+u_n)\cdot\nabla u_n\\ &\quad + \eta_{n-1}v_s\cdot\nabla U_n + \eta_{n-1}(U_n\cdot\nabla u_n + u_{n-1}\cdot\nabla U_n)],\end{aligned} \tag{3.50}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&E_{n,t} + v_s\cdot\nabla E_n = -E_n\operatorname{div} v_s - E_n\operatorname{div} u_n - \eta_{n-1}\operatorname{div} U_n\\ &\quad - \varrho_s\operatorname{div} U_n - U_n\cdot\nabla\varrho_s,\end{aligned} \tag{3.51}$$

and

$$U_n|_S = 0, \quad U_n|_{t=0} = 0, \quad E_n|_{t=0} = 0, \quad n > 0.$$

By U_0, E_0 we have extensions of the initial data.

Lemma 3.7. *Let the assumptions of Lemma 3.1 hold Then*

$$\|U_{n+1}\|_{0,\infty,\Omega^t} + \|U_{n+1}\|_{1,2,\Omega^t} \le \varphi(M)t^a(\|U_n\|_{0,\infty,\Omega^t} + \|U_n\|_{1,2,\Omega^t}), \tag{3.52}$$

where $a > 0$.

Proof. Multiplying (3.50) by U_{n+1}, integrating the result over Ω and using boundary conditions we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega \varrho_n\partial_t U^2_{n+1}dx + \|U_{n+1}\|_1^2 \le c(|f_s|^2 + 1 + \varphi(|u_n|_{2,1},|v_s|_{2,1}))|E_n|_2^2\\ &\quad + c\|\eta_{n-1}\|_2^2(\|v_s\|_2^2 + \|u_n\|_2^2)|U_n|_2^2 \le \varphi(M)(|E_n|_2^2 + |U_n|_2^2),\end{aligned} \tag{3.53}$$

where we used assumptions of Lemma 3.6. Continuing, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega \varrho_n U_{n+1}^2 dx + \|U_{n+1}\|_1^2 &\le c(|\varrho_{s,t}|_3^2 + |\eta_{n,t}|_3^2)|U_{n+1}|_2^2 \\
&+ \varphi(M)(|E_n|_2^2 + |U_n|_2^2).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.54}
$$

Multiplying (3.51) by E_n and integrating over Ω we get

$$
\frac{d}{dt}|E_n|_2 \le c(\|v_s\|_3 + \|u_n\|_3)|E_n|_2 + c(\|\eta_{n-1}\|_2 + \|\varrho_s\|_2)\|U_n\|_1.
$$

Integrating the above inequality with respect to time yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
|E_n(t)|_2 \le \exp[ct^{1/2}(\|v_s\|_{3,2,\Omega^t} + \|u_n\|_{3,2,\Omega^t})]\cdot \\
\cdot c(\|\eta_{n-1}\|_{2,\infty,\Omega^t} + \|\varrho_s\|_{2,\infty,\Omega^t})t^{1/2}\|U_n\|_{1,2,\Omega^t}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.55}
$$

Using (3.55) in (3.54) and integraing the result with respect to time we get (3.52). This concludes the proof. □

From Lemma 3.1, 3.2 we have

Theorem 3.8. *Let the assumptions of Lemma 3.1 hold. Let $\sigma(0)$ be so small that (3.13) is satisfied. Then for sufficiently small time T there exists a solution to problem (3.1)–(3.5) such that*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&u \in L_\infty(0,T;\Gamma_1^2(\Omega)) \cap L_2(0,T;\Gamma_2^3(\Omega)) \equiv A(\Omega^T), \\
&\eta \in L_\infty(0,T;\Gamma_1^2(\Omega)) \equiv B(\Omega^T) \quad \textit{and} \\
&\|u\|_{A(\Omega^T)} + \|\eta\|_{B(\Omega^T)} \le M,
\end{aligned}
$$

where M is introduced in Lemma 3.1.

4 Differential inequality

To prove the differential inequality we need existence of sufficiently regular local solution. Moreover, we need relations (1.14). However, they hold for the local solution, the differential inequality shows that they remain to hold for all time.

We remark that in this section we shall indicate any equivalent norm in $H^s(\Omega)$ with the same symbol $\|\cdot\|_s$. However constants in this section depend on constants from (1.14), we do not mark it.

First, applying global domain considerations, we show

Lemma 4.1. *For sufficiently regular solutions to (1.5), (1.10)–(1.12) it holds*

(4.1)
$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\bigg\|\sqrt{\varrho_s}u,\sqrt{\varrho}u_t,\frac{1}{\sqrt{p_s}}q,\frac{1}{\sqrt{p_s}}q_t\bigg\|_0^2+\|u,u_t\|_1^2+\|q,q_t\|_0^2\\&\quad\le c\varphi_1\|f_s\|_1^2+cA_1\|g\|_0^2+cA_1(1+A_1^2)\varphi_1+cA_1\varphi_1^2+c(1+\varphi_1)\varphi_1^2\equiv cX_0^2,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\varphi_1(t)=|u(t)|_{2,1}^2+|q(t)|_{2,1}^2,\quad A_1(t)=|\varrho_s(t)|_{2,1}^2+|v_s(t)|_{2,1}^2. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. Multiplying (1.5) by u, (1.10) by q, integrating over Ω, adding and using $(1.3)_2$ and (2.2), respectively, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_\Omega\bigg(\varrho_su^2+\frac{1}{\varkappa p_s}q^2\bigg)dx+\|u\|_1^2\le c\bigg|\int\limits_\Omega\bar f\cdot udx\bigg|+c\bigg|\int\limits_\Omega\bar hqdx\bigg|\\&\quad+c\int\limits_\Omega|\operatorname{div}v_s|q^2dx.\end{aligned}\tag{4.3}$$

Differentiating (1.5) and (1.10) with respect to time, multiplying the results by u_t and q_t, respectively, adding, integrating over Ω with using $(1.3)_2$ and (2.2), respectively, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\bigg\|\sqrt{\varrho_s}u_t,\frac{1}{\sqrt{p_s}}q\bigg\|_0^2+\|u_t\|_0^2\le c\bigg|\int\limits_\Omega(\varrho_{st}u_t^2+(\varrho_sv_s)_{,t}\cdot\nabla uu_t)dx\bigg|\\&\quad+c\bigg|\int\limits_\Omega\bigg[\bigg(\frac{1}{p_s}\bigg)_{,t}q_t^2+\bigg(\frac{v_s}{p_s}\bigg)_{,t}\cdot\nabla qq_t\bigg]dx\bigg|+c\bigg|\int\limits_\Omega\operatorname{div}v_sq_t^2dx\bigg|\\&\quad+c\bigg|\int\limits_\Omega\bar f_t\cdot u_tdx\bigg|+c\bigg|\int\limits_\Omega\bar h_t\cdot q_tdx\bigg|\equiv cI_1.\end{aligned}\tag{4.4}$$

From (1.10) we have

$$\|q_t\|_0^2\le c(\|v_s\cdot\nabla q\|_0^2+\|\operatorname{div}u\|_0^2+\|\bar h\|_0^2).\tag{4.5}$$

Adding (4.3) and (4.5) appropriately, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\bigg\|\sqrt{\varrho_s}u,\frac{1}{\sqrt{p_s}}q\bigg\|_0^2+\|u\|_1^2+\|q_t\|_0^2\le c\bigg|\int\limits_\Omega\bar f\cdot udx\bigg|+c\bigg|\int\limits_\Omega\bar h\cdot qdx\bigg|\\&\quad+c\bigg|\int\limits_\Omega|\operatorname{div}v_s|q^2dx\bigg|+c\|v_s\cdot\nabla q\|_0^2+c\|\bar h\|_0^2\equiv cI_2.\end{aligned}\tag{4.6}$$

Let us consider the Stokes system

$$\begin{aligned}&-\mu\Delta u+\nabla q=\bar f+\nu\nabla\operatorname{div}u-\varrho_s(u_t+v_s\cdot\nabla u),\\&\operatorname{div}u=\operatorname{div}u,\\&u|_S=0.\end{aligned}\tag{4.7}$$

Let us introduce a function φ such that

$$\operatorname{div}\varphi=q,\quad \varphi|_S=0.\tag{4.8}$$

Following [KP], there exists a solution to (4.8) such that $\varphi\in H^1$ and

$$\|\varphi\|_1\le c\|q\|_0.\tag{4.9}$$

Multiplying $(4.7)_1$ by φ, integrating over Ω and using (4.9) yields

$$\|q\|_0^2\le c(\|u\|_1^2+|\bar f|_{6/5}^2+|u_t|_{6/5}^2+|v_s\cdot\nabla u|_{6/5}^2),\tag{4.10}$$

where we used that $\varrho_*\le\varrho_s\le\varrho^*$. Inequalities (4.4) and (4.6) imply

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left\|\sqrt{\varrho_s}u,\sqrt{\varrho_s}u_t,\frac{1}{\sqrt{p_s}}q,\frac{1}{\sqrt{p_s}}q_t\right\|_0^2+\|u,u_t\|_1^2+\|q_t\|_0^2\le c(I_1+I_2).\tag{4.11}$$

Now, adding appropriately (4.10) and (4.11) gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\left\|\sqrt{\varrho_s}u,\sqrt{\varrho_s}u_t,\frac{1}{\sqrt{p_s}}q,\frac{1}{\sqrt{p_s}}q_t\right\|_0^2+\|u,u_t\|_1^2+\|q,q_t\|_0^2\le c(I_1+I_2\\&\quad+|\bar f|_{6/5}^2+|v_s\cdot\nabla u|_{6/5}^2).\end{aligned}\tag{4.12}$$

Now, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}I_1&\le\varepsilon(\|u_t\|_1^2+\|q_t\|_0^2)+c/\varepsilon[|\varrho_s|_{2,1}^2+|v_s|_{2,1}^2+|\varrho_s|_{2,1}^2|v_s|_{2,1}^2\\&\quad+|v_s|_{2,1}^2|\varrho_s|_{2,1}^4](|u|_{2,1}^2+|q|_{2,1}^2)+c/\varepsilon(|\bar f_t|_{6/5}^2+\|\bar h_t\|_0^2),\\I_2&\le\varepsilon(\|u\|_1^2+\|q\|_0^2)+c/\varepsilon(\|v_s\|_2^2\|q\|_1^2+|\bar f|_{6/5}^2+\|\bar h\|_0^2).\end{aligned}$$

Emploing the above estimates in (4.12) yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\left\|\sqrt{\varrho_s}u,\sqrt{\varrho_s}u_t,\frac{1}{\sqrt{p_s}}q,\frac{1}{\sqrt{p_s}}q_t\right\|_0^2+\|u,u_t\|_1^2+\|q,q_t\|_0^2\\&\le cA_1(1+A_1)\varphi_1+c(|\bar f_1|_{6/5}^2+\|\bar h_t\|_0^2+\|\bar h\|_0^2)+\int_\Omega\bar f_{2t}\cdot u_t dx.\end{aligned}\tag{4.13}$$

Now estimate the last four terms from the r.h.s. of (4.13). Using that $\bar{f} = \bar{f}_1 + \bar{f}_2$, $\bar{f}_2 = -\eta u_t$ (see (4.5)) we have

$$|\bar{f}_1|_{6/5}^2 \le |\eta|_2^2 \|f_s\|_1^2 + \|\varrho_s\|_1^2 \|g\|_0^2 + cA_1(1+A_1)\varphi_1 + cA_1\varphi_1^2 + c\varphi_1^3.$$

Next

$$\int\limits_\Omega \bar{f}_{2t} \cdot u_t dx = -\int\limits_\Omega (\eta u_t)_t u_t dx = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_\Omega \eta u_t^2 dx - \frac{1}{2}\int\limits_\Omega \eta_t u_t^2 dx,$$

where the second integral is estimated by

$$\left|\int\limits_\Omega \eta_t u_t^2 dx\right| \le \varepsilon \|u_t\|_1^2 + c/\varepsilon |\eta_t|_2^2 \|u_t\|_1^2 \le \varepsilon \|u_{t1}^2 + c/\varepsilon \varphi_1^2.$$

Finally, we have

$$\|\bar{h}_t\|_0^2 \le c(A_1\varphi_1 + \varphi_1^2)$$

and

$$\|\bar{h}\|_0^2 \le c(A_1\varphi_1 + \varphi_1^2).$$

Using the above estimates in (4.13) and assuming that ε is sufficiently small we derive (4.1). This concludes the proof. □

Next we obtain an estimate for the second spatial derivatives of u and the first of q.

Lemma 4.2. *For sufficiently smooth solutions we have*

(4.14)

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left\|\sqrt{\varrho_s}u, \sqrt{\varrho}u_t, \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_s}}q, \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_s}}q_t, \sqrt{\varrho_s}u_x, \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_s}}q_x\right\|_0^2 + |u|_{2,1}^2 + \|q, q_t\|_1^2 \le cX_2^2,$$

where

(4.15)

$$\begin{aligned} &X_1^2 + |\eta|_{1,0}^2 |f_s|_{1,0}^2 + |\varrho_s|_{1,0}^2 |g|_{1,0}^2 + \|\eta\|_1^2 \|v_{stt}\|_0^2 \\ &\le c\varphi_1 |f_s|_{1,0}^2 + cA_1|g|_{1,0}^2 + \|\eta\|_1^2 \|v_{stt}\|_0^2 + cA_1(1+A_1)\varphi_1 + (1 + A_1 + \varphi_1)\varphi_1^2 \\ &\equiv cX_2, \end{aligned}$$

and X_1 is introduced in (4.36).

Proof. Differentiate (2.5) and (2.6) with respect to τ, next multiply by $\tilde{u}_\tau$ and $\tilde{q}_\tau$, respectively, add, integrate over $\hat{\Omega}$ and use transformed equations

$(1.3)_2$ and (2.2). Then we get

(4.16)
$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}\left(\hat\varrho_s\tilde u_\tau^2+\frac{1}{\varkappa\hat p_s}\tilde q_\tau^2\right)dz+\|\tilde u_{z\tau}\|_0^2+\|\operatorname{div}\tilde u_\tau\|_0^2\\&\quad=-\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}(\hat\varrho_{s\tau}\tilde u_t+(\hat\varrho_s\hat v_s)_{,\tau}\cdot\tilde u_z)\cdot\tilde u_\tau dz-\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}\left[\left(\frac{1}{\varkappa\hat p_s}\right)_{,\tau}\tilde q_t+\left(\frac{\hat v_s}{\varkappa\hat p_s}\right)_{,\tau}\tilde q_z\right]\tilde q_\tau dz\\&\qquad+(\varkappa+1)\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}\frac{1}{\hat p_s}\hat{\operatorname{div}}\,\hat v_s\tilde q_\tau^2dz+\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}[\tilde{\bar f}_{,\tau}\cdot\tilde u_\tau+k_{1,\tau}\tilde u_\tau+\tilde{\bar h}_{,\tau}\tilde q_\tau+k_{2,\tau}\tilde q_\tau]dz.\end{aligned}$$

Let us introduce the quantities

$$\tilde\varphi_1(t)=|\tilde u|_{2,1}^2+|\tilde q|_{2,1}^2,\quad \hat B_1(t)=\|\hat v_s(t)\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2+\|\hat p_s(t)\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2. \tag{4.17}$$

Then the first three terms on the r.h.s. of (4.16) are bounded by

$$\varepsilon(\|\tilde u_\tau\|_1^2+\|\tilde q_\tau\|_0^2)+\frac{c}{\varepsilon}\hat B_1(1+\hat B_1)\tilde\varphi_1.$$

The last term on the r.h.s. of (4.16) is bounded by

$$\varepsilon(\|\tilde u_{\tau\tau}\|_0^2+\|\tilde q_\tau\|_0^2)+\frac{c}{\varepsilon}(\|\tilde{\bar f}\|_0^2+\|\tilde{\bar h}\|_1^2)+\frac{c}{\varepsilon}(\|k_1\|_0^2+\|k_{2,\tau}\|_0^2).$$

In view of (2.7) and (2.8) we have

$$\|k_1\|_0^2\le c\lambda(\|\tilde q_z\|_0^2+\|\tilde u_{zz}\|_0^2)+c(\|\hat q\|_{0,\hat\Omega}^2+\|\hat u\|_{1,\hat\Omega}^2) \tag{4.18}$$

and (2.9), (2.10) imply

$$\|k_{2,\tau}\|_0^2\le c\lambda\|\tilde u_{zz}\|_0^2+c\|\tilde u\|_1^2+c\hat B_1(1+\hat B_1)\|\tilde q\|_1^2. \tag{4.19}$$

Employing the above estimjates in (4.16) and assuming that ε is sufficiently small yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}\left(\hat\varrho_s\tilde u_\tau^2+\frac{1}{\varkappa\hat p_s}\tilde q_\tau^2\right)dz+\|\tilde u_{z\tau}\|_0^2+\|\operatorname{div}\tilde u_\tau\|_0^2\\&\le\varepsilon\|\tilde q_\tau\|_0^2+\frac{c}{\varepsilon}\lambda(\|\tilde u_{zz}\|_0^2+\|\tilde q_z\|_0^2)+\frac{c}{\varepsilon}(\|\hat u\|_{1,\hat\Omega}^2+\|\hat q\|_{0,\hat\Omega}^2)\\&\quad+\frac{c}{\varepsilon}\hat B_1(1+\hat B_1)\tilde\varphi_1+\frac{c}{\varepsilon}(\|\tilde{\bar f}\|_0^2+\|\tilde{\bar h}\|_1^2).\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

To estimate the last two norms we introduce

$$\hat\varphi_1(t)=|\hat u|_{2,1,\hat\Omega}^2+|\hat q|_{2,1,\hat\Omega}^2,\quad \hat B_2(t)=|\hat v_s(t)|_{2,1,\hat\Omega}^2+|\hat\varrho_s(t)|_{2,1,\hat\Omega}^2. \tag{4.21}$$

Then, using (2.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\tilde{\tilde{f}}\|_0^2 &\le c\|\hat\eta\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2\|\tilde f_s\|_0^2 + c\|\tilde g\|_0^2 + c\hat B_2(1+\hat B_2)\tilde\varphi_1\\ &\quad + c(1+\hat B_2+\hat\varphi_1)\hat\varphi_1\tilde\varphi_1.\end{aligned}\tag{4.22}$$

Finally, (2.12) implies

$$\|\tilde{\tilde{h}}\|_1^2 \le c(1+\hat B_2)(\hat B_2+\hat\varphi_1)\tilde\varphi_1.\tag{4.23}$$

Using estimate (4.22) and (4.23) in (4.20) yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}\bigg(\hat\varrho_s\tilde u_\tau^2+\frac{1}{\varkappa\hat p_s}\tilde q_\tau^2\bigg)dz+\|\tilde u_{z\tau}\|_0^2+\|\operatorname{div}\tilde u_\tau\|_0^2\\ &\le \varepsilon\|\tilde q_\tau\|_0^2+\frac{c}{\varepsilon}\lambda(\|\tilde u_{zz}\|_0^2+\|\tilde q_z\|_0^2)+\frac{c}{\varepsilon}(\|\hat u\|_{1,\hat\Omega}^2+\|\hat q\|_{0,\hat\Omega}^2)\\ &\quad+\frac{c}{\varepsilon}\hat B_2(1+\hat B_2)\tilde\varphi_1+\frac{c}{\varepsilon}(1+\hat B_2+\hat\varphi_1)\hat\varphi_1\tilde\varphi_1+c\hat\varphi_1\|\tilde f_s\|_0^2+c\|\tilde g\|_0^2.\end{aligned}\tag{4.24}$$

Multiplying the third component of (2.13) by $\tilde q_n$ and integrating the result over $\hat\Omega$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\mu+\nu}{\varkappa}\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}\partial_n\bigg(\frac{1}{\hat p_s}\tilde q_t\bigg)\tilde q_n dz+\frac{\mu+\nu}{\varkappa}\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}\partial_n\bigg(\frac{1}{\hat p_s}\hat v_s\cdot\hat\nabla\tilde q\bigg)\tilde q_n dz+\|\tilde q_n\|_0^2\\ &\le c(\|\tilde u_{z\tau}\|_0^2+\|\tilde u_t\|_0^2+\|\hat v_s\|_{1,\hat\Omega}^2\|\tilde u\|_2^2+\|\tilde{\tilde f}\|_0^2+\|k_1\|_0^2)+c(\|\tilde{\tilde h}\|_1^2+\|k_2\|_1^2).\end{aligned}\tag{4.25}$$

The first two terms on the l.h.s. of (4.25) equal

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\mu+\nu}{2\varkappa}\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}\bigg(\frac{1}{\hat p_s}\partial_t\tilde q_n^2+\frac{1}{\hat p_s}\hat v_s\cdot\hat\nabla\tilde q_n^2\bigg)dz+\frac{\mu+\nu}{\varkappa}\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}\bigg[\partial_n\bigg(\frac{1}{\hat p_s}\bigg)\tilde q_t\tilde q_n\\ &\quad+\partial_n\bigg(\frac{\hat v_s}{\hat p_s}\bigg)\cdot\hat\nabla\tilde q\tilde q_n\bigg]dz\equiv I_1+I_2.\end{aligned}$$

Employing equation (2.2) transformed to variables z in I_1 yields

$$\frac{\mu+\nu}{2\varkappa}\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}\frac{1}{\hat p_s}\tilde q_n^2dz+\frac{(\mu+\nu)(\varkappa+1)}{2\varkappa}\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}\frac{1}{\hat p_s}\hat{\operatorname{div}}\,\hat v_s\tilde q_n^2dz,$$

where the second integral is bounded by

$$\varepsilon\|\tilde q_n\|_0^2+c/\varepsilon\|\hat v_s\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2\|\tilde q_n\|_0^2.$$

Next,

$$|I_2| \le \varepsilon\|\tilde q_n\|_0^2 + \frac{c}{\varepsilon}\|\hat p_s\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2(\|\tilde q_t\|_0^2 + \|\hat v_s\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2\|\tilde q\|_2^2).$$

In view of the above relations and estimates (4.18), (4.19), (4.22), (4.23) we obtain from (4.25), for sufficiently small ε, the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}\frac{1}{\hat p_s}\tilde q_n^2dz + \|\tilde q_n\|_0^2 \le c(\|\tilde u_{z\tau}\|_0^2 + \|\tilde u_t\|_0^2)\\
&\quad + \frac{c}{\varepsilon}\lambda(\|\tilde u_{zz}\|_0^2 + \|\tilde q_z\|_0^2) + \frac{c}{\varepsilon}(\|\hat u\|_{1,\hat\Omega}^2 + \|\hat q\|_{0,\hat\Omega}^2)\\
&\quad + \frac{c}{\varepsilon}\hat B_2(1+\hat B_2)\tilde\varphi_1 + \frac{c}{\varepsilon}(1+\hat B_2+\hat\varphi_1)\hat\varphi_1\tilde\varphi_1 + c\hat\varphi_1\|\tilde f_s\|_0^2 + c\|\tilde g\|_0^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

where (4.2) and (4.21) were used.

Taking the L_2-norm of the third component of (2.14) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\operatorname{div}\tilde u_n\|_0^2 \le c(\|\tilde u_{z\tau}\|_0^2 + \|\tilde u_t\|_0^2 + \|\tilde q_n\|_0^2) + c\|\hat v_s\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2\|\tilde u\|_1^2\\
&\quad + c(\|\tilde{\tilde f}\|_0^2 + \|k_1\|_0^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

Using again (4.18) and (4.22) and also notation (4.17), (4.21) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\operatorname{div}\tilde u_n\|_0^2 \le c(\|\tilde u_{z\tau}\|_0^2 + \|\tilde u_t\|_0^2 + \|\tilde q_n\|_0^2) + c\lambda(\|\tilde u_{zz}\|_0^2 + \|\tilde q_z\|_0^2)\\
&\quad + c(\|\hat u\|_{1,\hat\Omega}^2 + \|\hat q\|_{0,\hat\Omega}^2) + c\hat B_2(1+\hat B_2)\tilde\varphi_1 + c(1+\hat B_2+\hat\varphi_1)\hat\varphi_1\tilde\varphi_1\\
&\quad + c\hat\varphi_1\|\tilde f_s\|_0^2 + c\|\tilde g\|_0^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

Adding appropriately (4.24), (4.26) and (4.28) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}\left(\hat\varrho_s\tilde u_\tau^2 + \frac{1}{\hat p_s}\tilde q_\tau^2\right)dz + \frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}\frac{1}{\hat p_s}\tilde q_n^2dz + \|\tilde u_{z\tau}\|_0^2 + \|\operatorname{div}\tilde u\|_1^2 + \|\tilde q_n\|_0^2\\
&\le \varepsilon\|\tilde q_\tau\|_0^2 + c\|\tilde u_t\|_0^2 + \frac{c}{\varepsilon}\lambda(\|\tilde u_{zz}\|_0^2 + \|\hat q\|_{0,\hat\Omega}^2) + \frac{c}{\varepsilon}\hat B_2(1+\hat B_2)\tilde\varphi_1\\
&\quad + \frac{c}{\varepsilon}(1+\hat B_2+\hat\varphi_1)\hat\varphi_1\tilde\varphi_1 + c\hat\varphi_1\|\tilde f_s\|_0^2 + c\|\tilde g\|_0^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

Passing to the old variables x in (4.29), deriving an inequality similar to (4.29) in an interior subdomain, summing the inequalities over all neighborhoods of the partition of unity, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}\left(\varrho_s u_\tau^2 + \frac{1}{p_s}q_x^2\right)dx + \|u_{z\tau}\|_0^2 + \|\operatorname{div}u\|_1^2 + \|q_n\|_0^2\\
&\le \varepsilon\|q_\tau\|_0^2 + c\|u_t\|_0^2 + \frac{c}{\varepsilon}\lambda(\|u_{xx}\|_0^2 + \|q_x\|_0^2) + \frac{c}{\varepsilon}(\|u\|_1^2 + \|q\|_0^2)\\
&\quad + \frac{c}{\varepsilon}A_1(1+A_1)\varphi_1 + \frac{c}{\varepsilon}(1+A_1+\varphi_1)\varphi_1^2 + c\varphi_1\|f_s\|_0^2 + c\|g\|_0^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

where u_τ means that in a neighborhood of the boundary there are only tangent derivatives. Similarly, q_n means that only normal derivative near the boundary appears.

For solutions to problem (4.7) we have

$$\|u\|_2^2 + \|q\|_1^2 \le c(\|\operatorname{div} u\|_1^2 + \|\bar f\|_0^2 + \|u_t\|_0^2 + \|v_s\|_2^2\|u\|_1^2). \tag{4.31}$$

Adding appropriately (4.30) and (4.31) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_\Omega \left(\varrho_s u_\tau^2 + \frac{1}{p_s}q_x^2\right)dx + \|u\|_2^2 + \|q\|_1^2 &\le c\|u_t\|_0^2 + c(\|u\|_1^2 + \|q\|_0^2)\\ &+ cA_1(1+A_1)\varphi_1 + c(1+A_1+\varphi_1)\varphi_1^2 + c\varphi_1\|f_s\|_0^2 + c\|g\|_0^2,\end{aligned} \tag{4.32}$$

where we used that λ is sufficiently small and

$$\|\bar f\|_0^2 \le cA_1(1+A_1)\varphi_1 + c(1+A_1+\varphi_1)\varphi_1^2 + c\varphi_1\|f_s\|_0^2 + c\|g\|_0^2. \tag{4.33}$$

Equation (1.10) yields

$$\|q_t\|_1^2 \le c(\|\operatorname{div} u\|_1^2 + A_1(1+A_1)\varphi_1 + (1+A_1)\varphi_1^2). \tag{4.34}$$

Then (4.32) and (4.34) yield

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_\Omega \left(\varrho_s u_\tau^2 + \frac{1}{p_s}q_x^2\right)dx + \|u\|_2^2 + \|q,q_t\|_1^2 \le c(\|u_t\|_0^2 + \|u\|_1^2 + \|q\|_0^2) + cX_1^2, \tag{4.35}$$

where

$$X_1^2 = A_1(1+A_1)\varphi_1 + (1+A_1+\varphi_1)\varphi_1^2 + \varphi_1\|f_s\|_0^2 + \|g\|_0^2. \tag{4.36}$$

To obtain the full derivatives with respect to x of u under the time derivative in (4.35) we multiply (1.5) by $-Au$ and integrate over Ω. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}&-\int\limits_\Omega \varrho_s u_t Au dx - \int\limits_\Omega \varrho_s v_s\cdot\nabla u Au dx + \|Au\|_0^2\\ &\le c(\|q_x\|_0^2 + \|\bar f\|_0^2).\end{aligned} \tag{4.37}$$

Integrating by parts the first two terms on the l.h.s. of (4.37) equals

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\limits_\Omega A^{1/2}(\varrho_s u_t + \varrho_s v_s\cdot\nabla u)\cdot A^{1/2}u dx\\ &= \int [A^{1/2}\varrho_s u_t + A^{1/2}(\varrho_s v_s)\cdot\nabla u]\cdot A^{1/2}u dx\\ &\quad + \int\limits_\Omega (\varrho_s A^{1/2}u_t + \varrho_s v_s\cdot\nabla A^{1/2}u)A^{1/2}u dx \equiv I_1 + I_2,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$|I_1| \le \varepsilon \|u_x\|_1^2 + c/\varepsilon \|\varrho_s\|_2^2 (1 + \|v_s\|_2^2)(\|u\|_2^2 + \|u_t\|_0^2)$$

and application of $(1.3)_2$ in I_2 yields

$$I_2 = \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_\Omega \varrho_s |A^{1/2}u|^2 dx.$$

Then (4.37) takes the form

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_\Omega \varrho_s |A^{1/2}u|^2 dx + \|Au\|_0^2 \le c(\|q_x\|_0^2 + X_1^2). \tag{4.38}$$

Inequalities (4.35) and (4.38) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_\Omega \left(\varrho_s u_x^2 + \frac{1}{p_s} q_x^2\right) dx + \|u\|_2^2 + \|q, q_t\|_1^2 \le c(\|u_t\|_0^2 + \|u\|_1^2 \\ + \|q\|_0^2) + cX_1^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.39}$$

To combine estimates (4.1) and (4.39) we need to use the estimates which are written in the more explicit way than in Lemma 4.1

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{1t}|_{6/5}^2 \le c|\eta|_{1,0}^2 |f_s|_{1,0}^2 + |\varrho_s|_{1,0}^2 |g|_{1,0}^2 \\ + c\|\eta\|_1^2 \|v_{stt}\|_0^2 + c|v_s|_{2,1}^4 |\eta|_{2,1}^2 + c|v_s|_{2,1}^2 |\varrho_s|_{2,1}^2 |u|_{2,1}^2 \\ + c|v_s|_{2,1}^2 |\eta|_{2,1}^2 |u|_{2,1}^2 + c|\varrho_s|_{2,1}^2 |u|_{2,1}^4 + c|\eta|_{2,1}^2 |u|_{2,1}^4. \end{aligned} \tag{4.40}$$

Moreover,

$$\int\limits_\Omega \bar{f}_{2t} \cdot u_t dx = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_\Omega \eta u_t^2 dx + \frac{1}{2}\int \eta_t u_t^2 dx, \tag{4.41}$$

where the second integral is bounded by

$$\varepsilon \|u_t\|_1^2 + c/\varepsilon \|\eta_t\|_1^2 \|u_t\|_1^2.$$

Next, we have

$$\|\bar{h}_0\|_0^2 \le c(\|p_s\|_2^2 + \|v_s\|_2^2)(\|u\|_2^2 + \|q\|_2^2) + c(\|u\|_2^2 + \|q\|_2^2)^2 \tag{4.42}$$

and

$$\|\bar{h}_t\|_0^2 \le c(1 + |p_s|_{2,1}^2)[|p_s|_{2,1}^2 |u|_{2,1}^2 + |v_s|_{2,1}^2 |q|_{2,1}^2 + |q|_{2,1}^2 |u|_{2,1}^2]. \tag{4.43}$$

Employing (4.40)–(4.43) in (4.1) yields

$$\tag{4.44}\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\left\|\sqrt{\varrho_s}u,\sqrt{\varrho}u_t,\frac{1}{\sqrt{p_s}}q,\frac{1}{\sqrt{p_s}}q_t\right\|_0^2+\|u,u_t\|_1^2+\|q,q_t\|_0^2\\&\le cA_1(1+A_1)\varphi_1+c(1+A_1)\varphi_1^2+c|\eta|_{1,0}|^2|f_s|_{1,0}^2+c|\varrho_s|_{1,0}^2|g|_{1,0}^2\\&\quad+c\|\eta\|_1^2\|v_{stt}\|_0^2.\end{aligned}$$

From (4.44) and (4.39) we obtain (4.14). This concludes the proof of Lemma 4.2. ☐

Next we formulate the lemma describing higher regularity of time derivative of u.

Lemma 4.3. *For sufficiently smooth solutions we have*

$$\tag{4.45}\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\left\|\sqrt{\varrho_s}u,\sqrt{\varrho}u_t,\frac{1}{\sqrt{p_s}}q,\frac{1}{\sqrt{p_s}}q_t,\sqrt{\varrho_s}u_x,\sqrt{\varrho}u_{xt},\frac{1}{\sqrt{p_s}}q_x\right\|_0^2\\&\quad+\|u,u_t\|_2^2+\|q,q_t\|_1^2\le\varepsilon\|u_{xxx}\|_0^2+c/\varepsilon X_3^2,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tag{4.46}\begin{aligned}X_3^2=&\,\varphi_1|f_s|_{1,0}^2+A_1|g|_{1,0}^2+\|\eta\|_2^2\|v_{stt}\|_0^2+A_1(1+A_1+A_1^3)\varphi_1\\&+A_1\varphi_1^2+(1+\varphi_1+\varphi_1^3)\varphi_1^2.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. To prove the lemma we consider (1.5) in the form

$$\tag{4.47}\begin{aligned}&\varrho u_t+\varrho v\cdot\nabla u-\mu\Delta u-\nu\nabla\mathrm{div}\,u+\nabla q=\eta f_s+\varrho_s g\\&\quad-[\eta(v_{st}+(v_s+u)\cdot\nabla v_s)+\varrho_s u\cdot\nabla v_s]\equiv\bar{f}_3.\end{aligned}$$

Differentiating (4.47) with respect to time, multiplying by $-Au_t$ and integrating over Ω one obtains

$$\tag{4.48}\begin{aligned}&-\int_\Omega\varrho_t u_t\cdot Au_t dx-\int_\Omega\varrho u_{tt}\cdot Au_t dx-\int_\Omega(\varrho v)_t\cdot\nabla u\cdot Au_t dx\\&-\int_\Omega\varrho v\cdot\nabla u_t\cdot Au_t dx+\|Au_t\|_0^2\le c(\|\nabla q_t\|_0^2+\|\bar{f}_{st}\|_0^2).\end{aligned}$$

The first term on the l.h.s. of the above inequality is bounded by

$$\varepsilon\|Au_t\|_0^2+c/\varepsilon\|\varrho_t u_t\|_0^2\le\varepsilon\|Au_t\|_0^2+c/\varepsilon(A_1+\varphi_1)\varphi_1,$$

and the third by

$$\varepsilon\|Au_t\|_0^2+c/\varepsilon(\|\varrho_t v\cdot\nabla u\|_0^2+\|\varrho v_t\cdot\nabla u\|_0^2)\le\varepsilon\|Au_t\|_0^2+c/\varepsilon(A_1+\varphi_1)^2\varphi_1.$$

Integrating by parts in the second and the fourth terms on the l.h.s. of (4.48), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} I=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2}\Big(&-\int\limits_{\Omega}A_{\alpha}^{1/2}(\varrho u_{tt}\cdot A_{\alpha}^{1/2}u_t)dx+\int\limits_{\Omega}A_{\alpha}^{1/2}(\varrho u_{tt})\cdot A_{\alpha}^{1/2}u_tdx\\ &-\int\limits_{\Omega}A_{\alpha}^{1/2}(\varrho v\cdot\nabla u_t\cdot A_{\alpha}^{1/2}u_t)dx+\int\limits_{\Omega}A_{\alpha}^{1/2}(\varrho v\cdot\nabla u_t)\cdot A_{\alpha}^{1/2}u_tdx)\\ \equiv&\sum_{i=1}^{4}I_i. \end{aligned}$$

The operator $A=\mu\Delta+\nu\nabla\mathrm{div}$, so $A_1^{1/2}=\sqrt{\mu}\nabla$, $A_2^{1/2}=\sqrt{\nu}\mathrm{div}$. Therefore, $I_1=-\int_\Omega[\mu\nabla\cdot(\varrho u_{tt}\cdot\nabla u_t)+\nu\mathrm{div}\,(\varrho u_{tt}\mathrm{div}\,u_t)]dx$, so the Green formula and $u|s=0$ imply that $I_1=0$. Next

$$\begin{aligned} I_3&=-\int\limits_{\Omega}\mu\nabla\cdot(\varrho v\cdot\nabla u_t\cdot\nabla u_t)dx-\nu\int\limits_{\Omega}\mathrm{div}\,(\varrho v\cdot\nabla u_t\mathrm{div}\,u_t)dx\\ &=-\mu\int\limits_{S}\varrho v\cdot\nabla u_t\cdot\bar{n}\cdot\nabla u_tdS-\nu\int\limits_{S}\varrho v\cdot\nabla v_t\cdot\bar{n}\mathrm{div}\,u_tdS. \end{aligned}$$

Since $v|_S=0$ it follows that $I_3=0$. therefore $I=I_2+I_4$. Continuing, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2}\Big[&\int\limits_{\Omega}A_{\alpha}^{1/2}\varrho u_{tt}A_{\alpha}^{1/2}u_tdx+\int\limits_{\Omega}\varrho A_{\alpha}^{1/2}u_{tt}\cdot A_{\alpha}^{1/2}u_tdx\\ &+\int\limits_{\Omega}A_{\alpha}^{1/2}(\varrho v)\cdot\nabla u_t\cdot A_{\alpha}^{1/2}u_tdx+\int\limits_{\Omega}\varrho v\cdot\nabla A_{\alpha}^{1/2}u_tA_{\alpha}^{1/2}u_tdx\Big]\\ \equiv&\sum_{i=1}^{4}J_i. \end{aligned}$$

Using the equation of continuity $(1.1)_2$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} J_2+J_4&=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2}\int\limits_{\Omega}(\varrho\partial_t|A_{\alpha}^{1/2}u_t|^2+\varrho v\cdot\nabla|A_{\alpha}^{1/2}u_t|^2)dx\\ &=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_{\Omega}\varrho|A_{\alpha}^{1/2}u_t|^2dx=\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_{\Omega}\varrho(\mu u_{xt}^2+\nu|\mathrm{div}\,u_t|^2)dx. \end{aligned}$$

Next

$$|J_1| \le \varepsilon \|u_{tt}\|_0^2 + \frac{c}{\varepsilon}(|\varrho_{sx}|_6^2 + |\eta_x|_6^2)|u_{xt}|_3^2 \equiv J_1'.$$

Employing the interpolation inequality (2.16) we obtain

$$J_1' \le \varepsilon \|u_{tt}\|_0^2 + \frac{c}{\varepsilon}\varepsilon_1 \|u_{xt}\|_1^2 + \frac{c}{\varepsilon}\varepsilon_1^{-1}(\|\varrho_{sx}\|_1^4 + \|\eta_x\|_1^4)\|u_{xt}\|_0^2, \tag{4.49}$$

where the last term in (4.49) is bounded by $\frac{c}{\varepsilon}\varepsilon^{-1}(A_1^2 + \varphi_1^2)\varphi_1$. Finally,

$$|J_3| \le c \int\limits_\Omega (|\varrho_x|\,|v| + |\varrho|\,|v_x|)|v_{xt}|^2 dx \le c(|\varrho_x|_3 |v|_\infty + |\varrho|_\infty |v_x|_3)|u_{xt}|_3^2 \equiv J_3'.$$

Applying again (2.16) yields

$$J_3' \le \varepsilon \|u_{xt}\|_1^2 + c/\varepsilon(\|\varrho_s\|_2^4 + \|\eta\|_2^4)(\|v_s\|_2^4 + \|\eta\|_2^4)\|u_{xt}\|_0^2,$$

where the second term is bounded by

$$\frac{c}{\varepsilon}(A_1^2 + \varphi_1^2)^2\varphi_1.$$

Employing the above estimate in (4.48) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_\Omega \varrho \sum_{\alpha=1}^2 |A_\alpha^{1/2} u_t|^2 dx + \|u_t\|_2^2 &\le \varepsilon \|u_{tt}\|_0^2 + c(\|\nabla q_t\|_0^2 + \|\bar f_{3t}\|_0^2) \\ &+ c(A_1 + A_1^2 + A_1^4)\varphi_1 + (\varphi_1 + \varphi_1^2 + \varphi_1^4)\varphi_1.\end{aligned} \tag{4.50}$$

To end the proof we have to estimate $\|\bar f_{st}\|_0$ and $\|u_{tt}\|_0$. From (4.47) we derive

$$\begin{aligned}\|\bar f_{3t}\|_0^2 \le c(&|\eta|_{2,1}^2 |f_s|_{1,0}^2 + |\varrho_s|_{2,1}^2 |g|_{1,0}^2 + \|\eta\|_2^2 \|v_{stt}\|_0^2 \\ &+ A_1\varphi_1 + A_1(A_1 + \varphi_1)\varphi_1).\end{aligned}$$

Calculating u_t from (4.47) and differentaiting the result with respect to t yields

$$\begin{aligned}u_{tt} = &-\frac{1}{\varrho}[-\varrho v \cdot \nabla u + \mu \Delta u + \nu \nabla \mathrm{div}\, u - \nabla q + \bar f_3]_{,t} \\ &- \frac{1}{\varrho^2}\varrho_t[-\varrho v \cdot \nabla u + \mu \Delta u + \nu \nabla \mathrm{div}\, u - \nabla q + \bar f_3].\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_{tt}\|_0^2 &\le c(\|(\varrho v \cdot \nabla u)_t\|_0^2 + \|u_t\|_2^2 + \|q_{xt}\|_0^2 + \|\bar f_{3,t}\|_0^2) \\ &\quad + c\|\varrho_t(|v \cdot \nabla u| + |u_{xx}| + |q_x| + |\bar f_3|)\|_0^2 \\ &\le c(\|u_t\|_2^2 + \|q_t\|_1^2 + \|\bar f_{3,t}\|_0^2) + c\|(\varrho v \cdot \nabla u)_t\|_0^2 + c\|\varrho_t u_{xx}\|_0^2 \\ &\quad + c\|\varrho_t q_x\|_0^2 + c\|\varrho_t \bar f_3\|_0^2,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\varrho v\cdot\nabla u)_{,t}\|_0^2&\le c(A_1+\varphi_1)\varphi_1+c(A_1+\varphi_1)^2\varphi_1,\\ \|\varrho_t u_{xx}\|_0^2&\le|\varrho_t|_6^2|u_{xx}|_3^2\le\varepsilon\|u_{xxx}\|_0^2+c/\varepsilon\|\varrho_t\|_1^4\|u_{xx}\|_0^2\\ &\le\varepsilon\|u_{xxx}\|_0^2+c/\varepsilon(A_1+\varphi_1)^2\varphi_1,\end{aligned}$$

where (2.16) is used. Continuing

$$\|\varrho_t q_x\|_0^2\le\|\varrho_t\|_1^2\|q_x\|_1^2\le(A_1+\varphi_1)\varphi_1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|\varrho_t\bar f_3\|_0^2\le\|\varrho_t\|_1^2\|\bar f_3\|_1^2\le c(A_1+\varphi_1)[&\varphi_1\|f_s\|_1^2+A_1\|g\|_1^2\\ &+A_1^2\varphi_1+A_1\varphi_1^2].\end{aligned}$$

Summarizing, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|u_{tt}\|_0^2\le\varepsilon\|u_{xxx}\|_0^2+c(\|u_t\|_2^2+\|q_t\|_1^2+\|\bar f_{3,t}\|_0^2)\\ &\quad+\frac{c}{\varepsilon}[(A_1+\varphi_1)^2\varphi_1+(A_1+\varphi_1)\varphi_1]+c\varphi_1\|f_s\|_1^2+cA_1\|g\|_1^2+c\|v_{st}\|_1^2\varphi_1.\end{aligned}$$

In view of the above estimates inequality (4.50) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\varrho}u_{xt}\|_0^2+\|u_t\|_2^2&\le\varepsilon\|u_{xxx}\|_0^2+c\|q_{xt}\|_0^2+c(\varphi_1|f_s|_{1,0}^2\\ &\quad+|\varrho_s|_{1,0}^2|g|_{1,0}^2+\|\eta\|_2^2\|v_{stt}\|_0^2+(A_1+A_1^2+A_1^4)\varphi_1\\ &\quad+A_1\varphi_1^2+(\varphi_1+\varphi_1^2+\varphi_1^4)\varphi_1).\end{aligned}\tag{4.51}$$

Adding appropriately (4.14) and (4.51) we obtain (4.45). This concludes the proof. □

Finally, we pass to estimate the third spacial derivatives of velocity and the second spacial derivatives of density.

Lemma 4.4. *For sufficiently regular solutions we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega\bigg(\varrho_s u_{xx}^2+\frac{1}{p_s}q_{xx}^2)dx+\|u\|_3^2+\|q\|_2^2&\le\varepsilon\|u_{xxt}\|_0^2\\ &\quad+c(\|u_t\|_0^2+\|u\|_2^2+\|q\|_1^2)+cX_4^2,\end{aligned}\tag{4.52}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}X_4^2=\varphi_1\|f_s\|_1^2&+A_1\|g\|_1^2+(1+A_1)A_2\varphi_1+(1+A_2)\varphi_1\Phi_1\\ &+(A_1+\varphi_1)^2\varphi_1+A_1(1+\varphi_1)\varphi_1.\end{aligned}\tag{4.53}$$

Proof. Differentiating (2.5) and (2.6) twice with respect to τ, multiplying by $\tilde{u}_{\tau\tau}$ and $\tilde{q}_{\tau\tau}$, respectively, integrating the results over $\hat{\Omega}$, adding and integrating by parts, we get

(4.54)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_{\hat{\Omega}}\left(\hat{\varrho}_s\tilde{u}_{\tau\tau}^2+\frac{1}{p_s}\tilde{q}_{\tau\tau}^2\right)dz+\|\tilde{u}_{\tau\tau}\|_1^2+\|\mathrm{div}\,\tilde{u}_{\tau\tau}\|_0^2\\
&\le\int\limits_{\hat{\Omega}}(\hat{\varrho}_{s\tau\tau}\tilde{u}_t+\hat{\varrho}_{s\tau}\tilde{u}_{\tau t})\cdot\tilde{u}_{\tau\tau}dz+\int\limits_{\hat{\Omega}}((\hat{\varrho}_s\hat{v}_s)_{,\tau\tau}\cdot\hat{\nabla}\tilde{u}+(\hat{\varrho}_s\hat{v}_s)_{,\tau}\hat{\nabla}\tilde{u}_\tau)\tilde{u}_{\tau\tau}dz\\
&\quad+\int\limits_{\hat{\Omega}}\left[\left(\frac{1}{\hat{p}_s}\right)_{,\tau\tau}\tilde{q}_t+\left(\frac{1}{\hat{p}_s}\right)_{,\tau}\tilde{q}_{\tau t}\right]\tilde{q}_{\tau\tau}dz\\
&\quad+\int\limits_{\hat{\Omega}}\left[\left(\frac{\hat{v}_s}{\hat{p}_s}\right)_{,\tau\tau}\cdot\hat{\nabla}\tilde{q}+\left(\frac{\hat{v}_s}{\hat{p}_s}\right)_{,\tau}\cdot\hat{\nabla}\tilde{q}_\tau\right]\tilde{q}_{\tau\tau}dz\\
&\quad+\int\limits_{\hat{\Omega}}\frac{(\varkappa+1)\varkappa}{\hat{p}_s}\hat{\mathrm{div}}\,\hat{v}_s\tilde{q}_{\tau\tau}^2dz+\int\limits_{\hat{\Omega}}\tilde{\bar{f}}_{,\tau\tau}\cdot\tilde{u}_{\tau\tau}dz+\int\limits_{\hat{\Omega}}\tilde{\bar{h}}_{,\tau\tau}\cdot\tilde{u}_{\tau\tau}dz\\
&\quad+\int\limits_{\hat{\Omega}}k_{1,\tau\tau}\tilde{u}_{\tau\tau}dz+\int\limits_{\hat{\Omega}}k_{2,\tau\tau}\tilde{q}_{,\tau\tau}dz.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we estimate the terms from the r.h.s. of (4.54). We bound the first term by

$$\varepsilon_1\|\tilde{u}_{\tau\tau}\|_1^2+c/\varepsilon\|\hat{\varrho}_{s\tau}\|_{1,\hat{\Omega}}^2\|\tilde{u}_t\|_1^2,$$

the second by

$$\varepsilon_2\|\tilde{u}_{\tau\tau}\|_1^2+c\varepsilon_2\|\hat{\varrho}_s\|_{2,\hat{\Omega}}^2\|\hat{v}_s\|_{2,\hat{\Omega}}^2\|\tilde{u}\|_2^2,$$

the third by

$$\varepsilon_3\|\tilde{q}_{\tau\tau}\|_0^2+c/\varepsilon_3(1+\|\hat{p}_s\|_{2,\hat{\Omega}}^2)\|\hat{p}_s\|_{3,\hat{\Omega}}^2\|\tilde{q}_t\|_1^2,$$

the fourth by

$$\varepsilon_4\|\tilde{q}_{\tau\tau}\|_0^2+c/\varepsilon_4(\|\hat{v}_s\|_{3,\hat{\Omega}}^2+\|\hat{v}_s\|_{2,\hat{\Omega}}^2\|\hat{p}_s\|_{3,\hat{\Omega}}^2+\|\hat{v}_s\|_{2,\hat{\Omega}}^2\|\hat{p}_s\|_{2,\hat{\Omega}}^2)\|\tilde{q}\|_2^2,$$

the fifth by

$$\varepsilon_5\|\tilde{q}_{\tau\tau}\|_0^2+c/\varepsilon_5\|\hat{v}_s\|_{3,\hat{\Omega}}^2\|\tilde{q}_{\tau\tau}\|_0^2.$$

Next we examine

$$\left|\int\limits_{\hat{\Omega}}\tilde{\bar{f}}_{,\tau\tau}\cdot\tilde{u}_{\tau\tau}dz\right|=\left|\int\limits_{\hat{\Omega}}\tilde{\bar{f}}_{,\tau}\tilde{u}_{\tau\tau\tau}dz\le\varepsilon_6\|\tilde{u}_{\tau\tau\tau}\|_0^2+c/\varepsilon_6\|\tilde{\bar{f}}\|_1^2\right.$$

and

$$\bigg|\int\limits_{\hat\Omega} k_{1,\tau\tau}\tilde u_{\tau\tau}dz\bigg| = \bigg|\int\limits_{\hat\Omega} k_{1,\tau}\tilde u_{\tau\tau\tau}dz\bigg| \le \varepsilon_7\|\tilde u_{\tau\tau\tau}\|_0^2$$
$$+\frac{c}{\varepsilon_7}\lambda(\|\tilde u\|_3^2+\|\tilde q\|_2^2)+c(\|\hat u\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2+\|\hat q\|_{1,\hat\Omega}^2).$$

Using the above estimates in (4.54) and assuming that $\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_7$ are sufficiently small, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}\left(\hat\varrho_s\tilde u_{\tau\tau}^2+\frac{1}{\hat p_s}\tilde q_{\tau\tau}^2\right)dz+\|\tilde u_{\tau\tau}\|_1^2+\|\operatorname{div}\tilde u_{\tau\tau}\|_0^2\\
&\le \varepsilon\|\tilde q_{\tau\tau}\|_0^2+\frac{c}{\varepsilon}\lambda(\|\tilde u\|_3^2+\|\tilde q\|_2^2)+\frac{c}{\varepsilon}(\|\hat u\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2+\|\hat q\|_{1,\hat\Omega}^2)\\
&\quad+\frac{c}{\varepsilon}[\|\hat\varrho_s\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2(1+\|\hat v_s\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2)+(1+\|\hat p_s\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2)\|\hat p_s\|_{3,\hat\Omega}^2+\|\hat v_s\|_{3,\hat\Omega}^2\\
&\quad+(\|\hat p_s\|_{3,\hat\Omega}^2+\|\hat p_s\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^4)\|\hat v_s\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2](|\tilde u|_{2,1}^2+|\tilde q|_{2,1}^2)\\
&\quad+\frac{c}{\varepsilon}\|\tilde{\tilde f}\|_1^2+c\bigg|\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}\tilde{\tilde h}_{\tau\tau}\cdot\tilde u_{\tau\tau}dz\bigg|+c\bigg|\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}k_{2,\tau\tau}\tilde q_{\tau\tau}dz\bigg|.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.55}$$

Finally, we have to estimate the last two terms on the r.h.s. of (4.55). First we examine

$$\bigg|\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}\tilde{\tilde h}_{,\tau\tau}\tilde q_{\tau\tau}dz\bigg| \le \bigg|\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}\left(\frac{\hat u}{\hat p_s}\hat\nabla(\hat p_s+\hat q)\hat\zeta\right)_{,\tau\tau}\tilde q_{\tau\tau}dz\bigg|$$
$$+\bigg|\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}\left(\frac{\hat q}{\hat p_s}\hat{\operatorname{div}}\,(\hat v_s+\hat u)\hat\zeta\right)_{,\tau\tau}\tilde q_{\tau\tau}dz\bigg| \equiv I_1+I_2.$$

Continuing,

$$I_1 \le \bigg|\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}\left(\frac{\hat u}{\hat p_s}\hat\nabla\hat p_s\hat\zeta\right)_{,\tau\tau}\tilde q_{\tau\tau}dz\bigg| + \bigg|\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}\left(\frac{\hat u}{\hat p_s}\hat\nabla\hat q\right)_{,\tau\tau}\tilde q_{\tau\tau}dz\bigg|$$
$$+\bigg|\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}\left(\frac{\hat u}{\hat p_s}\hat\nabla\hat\zeta\hat q\right)_{,\tau\tau}\tilde q_{\tau\tau}dz\bigg| \equiv I_1^1+I_1^2+I_1^3.$$

First, we estimate

$$I_1^1 \le \varepsilon\|\tilde q_{\tau\tau}\|_0^2 + c/\varepsilon\|\hat p_s\|_{3,\hat\Omega}^2(1+\|\hat p_s\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2)\|\tilde u\|_2^2.$$

Next, we examine

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_1^2 &= \left|\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}\left(\frac{\hat u}{\hat p_s}\right)_{,\tau\tau}\hat\nabla\tilde q\tilde q_{\tau\tau}\right| + \left|\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}\left(\frac{\hat u}{\hat p_s}\right)_{,\tau}(\hat\nabla\tilde q)_{,\tau}\tilde q_{\tau\tau}\right| + \left|\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}\frac{\hat u}{\hat p_s}\hat\nabla\tilde q_{\tau\tau}\tilde q_{\tau\tau}dz\right| \\
&\equiv J_1 + J_2 + J_3,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$J_1 + J_2 \le \varepsilon\|\tilde q_{\tau\tau}\|_0^2 + c/\varepsilon(1+\|\hat p_s\|_{3,\hat\Omega}^2)\|\hat u\|_{3,\hat\Omega}^2\|\tilde q\|_2^2.$$

Finally, integrating by parts in J_3 yields

$$J_3 = \left|\frac{1}{2}\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}\hat\nabla\left(\frac{\hat u}{\hat p_s}\right)\tilde q_{\tau\tau}^2dz\right| \le \varepsilon\|\tilde q_{\tau\tau}\|_0^2 + \frac{c}{\varepsilon}(1+\|\hat p_s\|_{3,\hat\Omega}^2)\|\hat u\|_{3,\hat\Omega}^2\|\tilde q_{\tau\tau}\|_0^2.$$

Continuing,

$$I_1^3 \le \varepsilon\|\tilde q_{\tau\tau}\|_0^2 + c/\varepsilon(1+\|\hat p_s\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2)\|\hat u\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2\|\hat q\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2.$$

Similarly, we have

$$I_2 \le \varepsilon\|\tilde q_{\tau\tau}\|_0^2 + c/\varepsilon(1+\|\hat p_s\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2)(\|\hat v_s\|_{3,\hat\Omega}^2 + \|\hat u\|_{3,\hat\Omega}^2)\|\hat q\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2.$$

Summarizing the above considerations, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}\tilde{\bar h}_{\tau\tau}\tilde q_{\tau\tau}dz\right| \le \varepsilon\|\tilde q_{\tau\tau}\|_0^2 + c/\varepsilon[(1+\|\hat p_s\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2)(\|\hat p_s\|_{3,\hat\Omega}^2\|\hat u\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2 \\
&\quad + \|\hat v_s\|_{3,\hat\Omega}^2\|\hat q\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2) + (1+\|\hat p_s\|_{3,\hat\Omega}^2)\|\hat q\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2\|\hat u\|_{3,\hat\Omega}^2] \\
&\le \varepsilon\|\tilde q_{\tau\tau}\|_0^2 + \frac{c}{\varepsilon}[(1+\hat A_1)\hat A_2\hat\varphi_1 + (1+\hat A_2)\hat\varphi_1\hat\Phi_1].
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.56}
$$

Finally,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}k_{2,\tau\tau}\tilde q_{\tau\tau}dz\right| \le \varepsilon\|\tilde q_{\tau\tau}\|_0^2 + \frac{c}{\varepsilon}\lambda\|\tilde u\|_3^2 + c\|\hat u\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{c}{\varepsilon}(1+\|\hat p_s\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2)\|\hat v_s\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2\|\hat q\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2,
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.57}
$$

where the last expression is bounded by $\frac{c}{\varepsilon}(1+\hat A_1)\hat A_1\hat\varphi_1$.

Employing (4.56) and (4.57) in (4.55) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_\Omega\left(\hat\varrho_s\tilde u_{\tau\tau}^2+\frac{1}{\hat p_s}\tilde q_{\tau\tau}^2\right)dz+\|\tilde u_{\tau\tau}\|_1^2+\|\operatorname{div}\tilde u_{\tau\tau}\|_0^2\\
&\le\varepsilon\|\tilde q_{\tau\tau}\|_0^2+\frac{c}{\varepsilon}\lambda(\|\tilde u\|_3^2+\|\tilde q\|_2^2)+\frac{c}{\varepsilon}(\|\hat u\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2+\|\hat q\|_{1,\hat\Omega}^2)\\
&\quad+\frac{c}{\varepsilon}[\|\hat\varrho_s\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2(1+\|\hat v_s\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2)+(1+\|\hat p_s\|_{2,\hat\Omega})\|\hat p_s\|_{3,\hat\Omega}^2+\|\hat v_s\|_{3,\hat\Omega}^2\\
&\quad+(\|\hat p_s\|_{3,\hat\Omega}^2+\|\hat p_s\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^4)\|\hat v_s\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2](|\tilde u|_{2,1}^2+|\tilde q|_{2,1}^2)\\
&\quad+\frac{c}{\varepsilon}(1+\|\hat p_s\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2)(\|\hat p_s\|_{3,\hat\Omega}^2\|\hat u\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2+\|\hat v_s\|_{3,\hat\Omega}^2\|\hat q\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2)\\
&\quad+\frac{c}{\varepsilon}(1+\|\hat p_s\|_{3,\hat\Omega}^2)\|\hat q\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2\|\hat u\|_{3,\hat\Omega}^2+\frac{c}{\varepsilon}\|\tilde{\bar f}\|_1^2.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.58}
$$

From (2.11) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\tilde{\bar f}\|_1^2&\le c[\|\hat\eta\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2\|\tilde f_s\|_1^2+\|\hat\varrho_s\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2\|\tilde g\|_1^2+\|\hat\eta\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2\|\tilde v_{st}\|_1^2\\
&\quad+\|\hat\eta\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2\|\tilde u_t\|_1^2+(\|\hat v_s\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2+\|\hat u\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2)(\|\hat v_s\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2\|\hat\eta\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2\\
&\quad+\|\hat\eta\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2\|\hat u\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2+\|\hat\varrho_s\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2\|\hat u\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2)]\le c[\hat\varphi_1\|\tilde f_s\|_1^2+\hat A_1\|g\|_1^2\\
&\quad+\hat A_1(1+\hat\varphi_1)\hat\varphi_1+(\hat A_1+\hat\varphi_1)^2\hat\varphi_1].
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.59}
$$

In view of (4.59) and notation (2.15) inequality (4.58) takes the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\left\|\sqrt{\hat\varrho_s}\tilde u_{\tau\tau},\frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat p_s}}\tilde q_{\tau\tau}\right\|_0^2+\|\tilde u_{\tau\tau}\|_1^2+\|\operatorname{div}\tilde u_{\tau\tau}\|_0^2\\
&\le\varepsilon\|\tilde q_{\tau\tau}\|_0^2+\frac{c}{\varepsilon}\lambda(\|\tilde u\|_3^2+\|\tilde q\|_2^2)+\frac{c}{\varepsilon}(\|\hat u\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2+\|\hat q\|_{1,\hat\Omega}^2)\\
&\quad+\frac{c}{\varepsilon}[(1+\hat A_1)\hat A_2\hat\varphi_1+(1+\hat A_2)\hat\varphi_1\hat\Phi_1+\hat A_1\hat\varphi_1+\hat A_1\hat\varphi_1^2+\hat A_1^3\hat\varphi_1\\
&\quad+(\hat A_1+\hat\varphi_1)^2\hat\varphi_1+\hat\varphi_1\|\tilde f_s\|_1^2+\hat A_1\|\tilde g\|_1^2].
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.60}
$$

Differentiating the third component of (2.13) with respect to τ, multiplying the result by $\tilde q_{n\tau}$ and integrating over $\hat\Omega$, one obtains

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{(\mu+\nu)}{\varkappa}\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}\left(\partial_n\frac{1}{\hat p_s}\tilde q_t\right)_{,\tau}\tilde q_{n\tau}dz+\frac{\mu+\nu}{\varkappa}\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}\partial_n\left(\frac{1}{\hat p_s}\hat v_s\cdot\hat\nabla\tilde q\right)_{,\tau}\tilde q_{n\tau}dz\\
&\quad+\|\tilde q_{n\tau}\|_0^2\le c(\|\tilde u_{z\tau\tau}\|_0^2+\|\tilde u_{\tau t}\|_0^2)+c(\|(\hat\varrho_s\hat v_s\cdot\hat\nabla\tilde u)_{,\tau}\|_0^2+\|\hat\varrho_{s\tau}\tilde u_t\|_0^2\\
&\quad+\|\tilde{\bar f}\|_1^2+\|k_{1,\tau}\|_0^2)+(\mu+\nu)\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}(\tilde h_{n\tau}\tilde q_{n\tau}+k_{2n\tau}\tilde q_{n\tau})dz.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.61}
$$

Now, we examine the particular terms in (4.61).
We express the first two terms on the l.h.s. of (4.61) in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\mu+\nu}{\varkappa}\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{\hat p_s}\tilde q_{n\tau,t}+\frac{1}{\hat p_s}\hat v_s\cdot\hat\nabla\tilde q_{n\tau}\right)\tilde q_{n\tau}dz\\
&\quad+\frac{\mu+\nu}{\varkappa}\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}\left(\partial^2_{n\tau}\left(\frac{1}{\hat p_s}\right)\tilde q_t+\partial^2_{n\tau}\left(\frac{1}{\hat p_s}\hat v_s\right)\cdot\hat\nabla\tilde q\right)\tilde q_{n\tau}dz\\
&\quad+\frac{\mu+\nu}{\varkappa}\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}\left(\partial_z\left(\frac{1}{\hat p_s}\right)\tilde q_{zt}+\partial_z\left(\frac{1}{\hat p_s}\hat v_s\right)\partial_z\hat\nabla\tilde q\right)\tilde q_{n\tau}dz\\
&\equiv I_1+I_2+I_3,
\end{aligned}
$$

where in I_3 the derivatives with respect to n and τ are replaced by derivatives with respect to z.
Employing equation (2.2) transformed to variables z in I_1, yields

$$
I_1=\frac{\mu+\nu}{2\varkappa}\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}\frac{1}{\hat p_s}\tilde q^2_{n\tau}dz-\frac{(\mu+\nu)(\varkappa+1)}{2}\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}\frac{1}{\hat p_s}\hat{\rm div}\,\hat v_s\tilde q^2_{n\tau}dz,
$$

where the second integral is bounded by

$$
\varepsilon\|\tilde q_{n\tau}\|_0^2+c/\varepsilon\hat A_2\|\tilde q_{n\tau}\|_0^2.
$$

Applying the Hölder and the Young inequalities we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
|I_2|&\le\varepsilon\|\tilde q_{n\tau}\|_0^2+\frac{c}{\varepsilon}\hat A_1(1+\hat A_1)\hat A_2\tilde\varphi_1,\\
|I_3|&\le\varepsilon\|\tilde q_{n\tau}\|_0^2+\frac{c}{\varepsilon}(1+\hat A_1)\hat A_2\tilde\varphi_1.
\end{aligned}
$$

Next,

$$
\|(\hat\varrho_s\hat v_s\hat\nabla\tilde u)_{,\tau}\|_0^2\le c\|\hat\varrho_s\|^2_{2,\hat\Omega}\|\hat v_s\|^2_{2,\hat\Omega}\|\tilde u\|_2^2\le c\hat A_1^2\tilde\varphi_1,
$$

$\|\bar{\tilde f}\|_1^2$ is bounded by (4.59),

$$
\|k_{1,\tau}\|_0^2\le c\lambda(\|\tilde u_{zzz}\|_0^2+\|\tilde q_{zz}\|_0^2)+c(\|\hat u\|^2_{2,\hat\Omega}+\|\hat q\|^2_{1,\hat\Omega}).
$$

Similarly, as in the case of estimates (4.56) and (4.57) we have

$$
\left|\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}\tilde h_{n\tau}\tilde q_{n\tau}dz\right|\le\varepsilon\|\tilde q_{n\tau}\|_0^2+c/\varepsilon[(1+\hat A_1)\hat A_2\hat\varphi_1+(1+\hat A_2)\hat\varphi_1\hat\Phi_1]
$$

and

$$\Bigg|\int\limits_{\hat\Omega} k_{2,n\tau}\tilde q_{n\tau}dz\Bigg| \le \varepsilon\|\tilde q_{n\tau}\|_0^2 + \frac{c}{\varepsilon}\lambda\|\tilde u\|_3^2 + c\|\hat u\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2 + \frac{c}{\varepsilon}(1+\hat A_1)\hat A_1\hat\varphi_1.$$

Employing the above estimates in (4.61), one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_{\hat\Omega}\frac{1}{\hat p_s}\tilde q_{n\tau}^2dz + \|\tilde q_{n\tau}\|_0^2 \le c(\|\tilde u_{z\tau\tau}\|_0^2 + \|\tilde u_{\tau t}\|_0^2)\\
&+ c\lambda(\|\tilde u_{zzz}\|_0^2 + \|\tilde q_{zz}\|_0^2) + c(\|\hat u\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2 + \|\hat q\|_{1,\hat\Omega}^2) + c\hat\varphi_1\|\tilde f_s\|_1^2\\
&+ c\hat A_1\|\tilde g\|_1^2 + c[(1+\hat A_1)^2\hat A_2\hat\varphi_1 + \hat A_1^2\tilde\varphi_1 + \hat A_1\hat\varphi_1^2 + (1+\hat A_2)\hat\varphi_1\hat\Phi_1].
\end{aligned}\tag{4.62}$$

Differentiating the third component of (2.14) with respect to τ and taking the L_2-norm yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(\operatorname{div}\tilde u)_{,n\tau}\|_0^2 &\le c(\|\tilde u_{z\tau\tau}\|_0^2 + \|\tilde u_{\tau t}\|_0^2 + \|\tilde q_{n\tau}\|_0^2) + c\|\hat\varrho_s\|_2^2\|\tilde u_t\|_1^2\\
&+ c\lambda(\|\tilde u_{zzz}\|_0^2 + \|\tilde q_{zz}\|_0^2) + c(\|\hat u\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2 + \|\hat q\|_{1,\hat\Omega}^2)\\
&+ c[\hat\varphi_1\|\tilde f_s\|_1^2 + \hat A_1\|g\|_1^2 + (\hat A_1+\hat\varphi_1)\hat\varphi_1 + (\hat A_1+\hat\varphi_1)^2\hat\varphi_1].
\end{aligned}\tag{4.63}$$

Consider now the following Stokes problem

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\mu\Delta\tilde u + \nabla\tilde q = -\hat\varrho_s\tilde u_t + \nu\nabla\operatorname{div}\tilde u + \tilde{\tilde f} + k_1 - \hat\varrho_s\hat v_s\cdot\hat\nabla\tilde u\\
&\operatorname{div}\tilde u = \operatorname{div}\tilde u \quad \text{in}\ \ \hat\Omega,\\
&\tilde u|_{\hat S} = 0.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.64}$$

Differentiating (4.64) with respect to τ, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\tilde u_\tau\|_2^2 + \|\tilde q_\tau\|_1^2 \le c(\|\tilde u_{\tau t}\|_0^2 + \|\operatorname{div}\tilde u_\tau\|_1^2 + \|\tilde{\tilde f}\|_1^2\\
&\quad + \|\hat\varrho_{s\tau}\tilde u_t\|_0^2 + \|k_{1,\tau}\|_0^2 + \|(\hat\varrho_s\hat v_s\hat\nabla\tilde u)_{,\tau}\|_0^2)\\
&\le c(\|\tilde u_{\tau t}\|_0^2 + \|\operatorname{div}\tilde u_\tau\|_1^2) + c\lambda(\|\tilde u_{zzz}\|_0^2 + \|\tilde q_{zz}\|_0^2)\\
&\quad + c(\|\hat u\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2 + \|\hat q\|_{1,\hat\Omega}^2) + c\hat\varphi_1\|\tilde f_s\|_1^2 + c\hat A_1\|\tilde g\|_1^2\\
&\quad + c\hat A_1(1+\hat A_1)\hat\varphi_1 + \hat A_1\hat\varphi_1^2 + c\hat\varphi_1^3.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.65}$$

Adding appropriately (4.60), (4.62), (4.63) and (4.65) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\bigg\|\sqrt{\hat\varrho_s}\tilde u_{\tau\tau}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat p_s}}\tilde q_{\tau\tau}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat p_s}}\tilde q_{n\tau}\|_0^2 + \|\tilde u_\tau\|_2^2 + \|\tilde q_\tau\|_1^2\\
&\le c\|\tilde u_t\|_1^2 + c\lambda(\|\tilde u_{zzz}\|_0^2 + \|\tilde q_{zz}\|_0^2) + c(\|\hat u\|_{2,\hat\Omega} + \|\hat q\|_{1,\hat\Omega}^2)\\
&\quad + c\hat\varphi_1\|\tilde f_s\|_1^2 + c\hat A_1\|\tilde g\|_1^2 + c[(1+\hat A_1)\hat A_2\hat\varphi_1 + (1+\hat A_2)\hat\varphi_1\hat\Phi_1\\
&\quad + (\hat A_1+\hat\varphi_1)^2\hat\varphi_1 + \hat A_1(1+\hat\varphi_1)\hat\varphi_1]\\
&\equiv c\|\tilde u_t\|_1^2 + c\lambda(\|\tilde u_{zzz}\|_0^2 + \|\tilde q_{zz}\|_0^2) + c(\|\hat u\|_{2,\hat\Omega}^2 + \|\hat q\|_{1,\hat\Omega}^2)\\
&\quad + c\hat X_4^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.66}$$

Differentiating the third component of (2.13) with respect to n, multiplying the result by $\tilde{q}_{nn}$ and integrating over $\hat{\Omega}$, one derives

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\bigg\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{p}_s}}\tilde{q}_{nn}\|_0^2+\|\tilde{q}_{nn}\|_0^2\le c(\|\tilde{u}_t\|_1^2+\|\tilde{u}_{zz\tau}\|_0^2)\\&\quad+c\lambda(\|\tilde{u}_{zzz}\|_0^2+\|\tilde{q}_{zz}\|_0^2)+c(\|\hat{u}\|_{2,\hat{\Omega}}^2+\|\hat{q}\|_{1,\hat{\Omega}}^2)\\&\quad+c\hat{X}_4^2.\end{aligned}\tag{4.67}$$

The L_2-norm of the derivative with respect to n of the third component of (2.14) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(\operatorname{div}\tilde{u})_{,nn}\|_0^2\le c(\|\tilde{u}_{zz\tau}\|_0^2+\|\tilde{q}_{nn}\|_0^2+\|\tilde{u}_t\|_1^2)\\&\quad+c\lambda(\|\tilde{u}_{zzz}\|_0^2+\|\tilde{q}_{zz}\|_0^2)+c(\|\hat{u}\|_{2,\hat{\Omega}}^2+\|\hat{q}\|_{1,\hat{\Omega}}^2)\\&\quad+c\hat{X}_4^2.\end{aligned}\tag{4.68}$$

For solution to problem (4.64) we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\tilde{u}\|_3^2+\|\tilde{q}\|_2^2\le c(\|\nabla\operatorname{div}\tilde{u}\|_1^2+\|\tilde{u}_t\|_1^2)\\&\quad+c\lambda(\|\tilde{u}_{zzz}\|_0^2+\|\tilde{q}_{zz}\|_0^2)+c(\|\hat{u}\|_{2,\hat{\Omega}}^2+\|\hat{q}\|_{1,\hat{\Omega}}^2)\\&\quad+c\hat{X}_4^2.\end{aligned}\tag{4.69}$$

Adding appropriately (4.66)–(4.69) gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\bigg\|\sqrt{\hat{\varrho}_s}\tilde{u}_{\tau\tau},\frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{p}_s}}\tilde{q}_{zz}\bigg\|_0^2+\|\tilde{u}\|_3^2+\|\tilde{q}\|_2^2\\&\le c\|\tilde{u}_t\|_1^2+c\lambda(\|\tilde{u}_{zzz}\|_0^2+\|\tilde{q}_{zz}\|_0^2)+c(\|\hat{u}\|_{2,\hat{\Omega}}^2+\|\hat{q}\|_{1,\hat{\Omega}}^2)\\&\quad+c\hat{X}_4^2.\end{aligned}\tag{4.70}$$

Passing to the old variables x in (4.70), deriving an inequality similar to (4.70) in an interior subdomain, summing the inequalities over all neighborhoods of the partition of unity and assuming that λ is sufficiently small, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega\left(\varrho_s\bar{u}_{\tau\tau}^2+\frac{1}{p_s}q_{xx}\right)dx+\|u\|_3^2+\|q\|_2^2\le c(\|u_t\|_1^2\\&\quad+\|u\|_2^2+\|q\|_1^2)+cX_4^2,\end{aligned}\tag{4.71}$$

where $\bar{u}_{\tau\tau}$ means that in a neighborhood of the boundary there are only tangent derivatives.

To derive (4.52) from (4.71) we need the expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_\Omega \varrho_s u_{xx}^2 dx = 2\int\limits_\Omega \varrho_s u_{xxt}u_{xx}dx + \int\limits_\omega \varrho_{st}u_{xx}^2 dx \\
&\le \varepsilon(\|u_{xxt}\|_0^2 + \|u_{xx}\|_1^2) + c/\varepsilon(\|\varrho_s\|_2^2 + \|\varrho_{st}\|_1^2)\|u_{xx}\|_0^2.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.72}
$$

Using (4.72) in (4.71) and choosing ε sufficiently small implies (4.52). This concludes the proof. □

Lemma 4.5. *For sufficiently regular solutions we have*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\left\|\sqrt{\varrho_s}u, \sqrt{\varrho_s}u_x, \sqrt{\varrho_s}u_{xx}, \sqrt{\varrho}u_t, \sqrt{\varrho}u_{xt}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_s}}q, \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_s}}q_t, \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_s}}q_x, \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_s}}q_{xx}\right\|_0^2 \\
&\quad + |u|_{3,2}^2 + |q|_{2,1}^2 \le c(X_0^2 + X_3^2 + X_4^2),
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.73}
$$

where X_0 is introduced in (4.1), X_3 in (4.46) and X_4 in (4.53).

Proof. Applying some interpolation inequalities we obtain from (4.52) the inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\left\|\sqrt{\varrho_s}u_{xx}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_s}}q_{xx}\right\|_0^2 + \|u\|_3^2 + \|q\|_2^2 \le \varepsilon\|u_{xxt}\|_0^2 \\
&\quad + c\|u, u_t, q\|_0^2 + cX_4^2.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.74}
$$

Adding appropriately (4.1) and (4.74) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\left\|\sqrt{\varrho_s}u, \sqrt{\varrho}u_t, \sqrt{\varrho_s}u_{xx}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_s}}q, \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_s}}q_t, \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_s}}q_{xx}\right\|_0^2 \\
&\quad + \|u\|_3^2 + \|u_t\|_1^2 + \|q\|_2^2 + \|q_t\|_0^2 \le \varepsilon\|u_{xxt}\|_0^2 + c(X_0^2 + X_4^2).
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.75}
$$

Adding (4.45) and (4.75) and using that ε is sufficiently small we get (4.73). This concludes the proof. □

Since in (4.73) the norm $\left\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{p_s}}q_{xt}\right\|_0$ does not appear under the time derivative we need

Lemma 4.6. *For sufficiently regular solutions we have*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\left\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{p_s}}q_{xt}^2\right\|_0^2 \le \varepsilon\|q_{xt}\|_0^2 + c/\varepsilon\|u_{xxt}\|_0^2 + c/\varepsilon[[(1 + A_1)A_1 \\
&\quad + A_1^2(1 + A_1)]\varphi_1 + (1 + A_1)\varphi_1\Phi_1 + [1 + A_4(1 + A_1)]\varphi_1^2].
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.76}
$$

Proof. Differentiate (1.10) with respect to x and t, multiply the result by q_{xt} and integrate over Ω. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\limits_\Omega\left(\frac{1}{p_s}(q_t+v_s\cdot\nabla q)\right)_{xt}q_{xt}dx+\varkappa\int\limits_\Omega \operatorname{div}u_{xt}q_{xt}dx\\ &=-\int\limits_\Omega\left(\frac{4}{p_s}\nabla(p_s+q)\right)_{xt}q_{xt}dx-\varkappa\int\limits_\Omega\left(\frac{q}{p_s}\operatorname{div}(v_s+u)\right)_{xt}q_{xt}dx\\ &\equiv J+K.\end{aligned}\tag{4.77}$$

The first term on the l.h.s. equals

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\limits_\Omega\left(\frac{1}{p_s}q_{xtt}q_{xt}+\frac{v_s}{p_s}\cdot\nabla q_{xt}q_{xt}\right)dx+\int\limits_\Omega\left[\left(\frac{1}{p_s}\right)_{,x}q_{tt}q_{xt}+\left(\frac{v_s}{p_s}\right)_{,x}q_{xt}^2\right]dx\\ &\quad+\int\limits_\Omega\left[\left(\frac{1}{p_s}\right)_{,t}q_{xt}^2+\left(\frac{v_s}{p_s}\right)_{,t}q_{xx}q_{xt}\right]dx+\int\limits_\Omega\left[\left(\frac{1}{p_s}\right)_{xt}q_t+\left(\frac{v_s}{p_s}\right)_{,xt}q_x\right]q_{xt}dx\\ &\equiv\sum_{i=1}^4 I_i.\end{aligned}\tag{4.78}$$

Now we examine the terms in (4.78).

$$I_1=\frac{1}{2}\int\limits_\Omega\left[\frac{1}{p_s}\partial_t q_{xt}^2+\frac{v_s}{p_s}\cdot\nabla q_{xt}^2\right]dx$$

From (2.2) we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\int\limits_\Omega\left[\left(\frac{1}{p_s}\right)_{,t}+\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{v_s}{p_s}\right)\right]q_{xt}^2dx-(\varkappa+1)\int\limits_\Omega\frac{1}{p_s}\operatorname{div}v_s q_{xt}^2dx=0.\tag{4.79}$$

Adding (4.79) to I_1 and using that $v_s\cdot\bar n|_S=0$ we obtain

$$I_1=\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_\Omega\frac{1}{p_s}q_{xt}^2dx-(\varkappa+1)\int\limits_\Omega\frac{1}{p_s}\operatorname{div}v_s q_{xt}^2dx,$$

where the second integral is bounded by

$$\varepsilon\|q_{xt}\|_0^2+c/\varepsilon\|v_s\|_3^2\|q_{xt}\|_0^2.$$

Next

$$\begin{aligned}|I_2|&\le c\int\limits_\Omega[|p_{sx}|\,|q_{tt}|\,|q_{xt}|+(|v_{sx}|+|v_s|\,|p_{sx}|)q_{xt}^2]dx\\ &\le\varepsilon\|q_{xt}\|_0^2+c/\varepsilon[\|p_s\|_3^2\|q_{tt}\|_0^2+(\|v_s\|_3^2+\|v_s\|_2^2\|p_s\|_3^2)\|q_{xt}\|_0^2].\end{aligned}$$

From (1.10) we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}\|q_{tt}\|_0^2 &\le \|(v_s\cdot\nabla q)_t\|_0^2+\|(p_s\operatorname{div}u)_t\|_0^2+\|(u\cdot\nabla(p_s+q))_t\|_0^2\\ &\quad+\|(q\operatorname{div}(v_s+u))_t\|_0^2\le c(A_1+\varphi_1)\varphi_1,\end{aligned}\tag{4.80}$$

where we used (2.15). Continuing, we have

$$|I_2|\le\varepsilon\|q_{xt}\|_0^2+c/\varepsilon[A_2(A_1+\varphi_1)\varphi_1+(1+A_1)A_2\varphi_1].$$

Next, we examine

$$\begin{aligned}|I_3|&\le c\int_\Omega[|p_{st}|q_{xt}^2+(|v_{xt}+|v_s|\,|p_{st})|q_{xx}|\,|q_{xt}|]dx\\ &\le\varepsilon\|q_{xt}\|_0^2+c/\varepsilon(1+A_1)A_3\varphi_1.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}|I_4|&\le c\int_\Omega[(|p_{st}|\,|p_{sx}|+|p_{sxt}|)|q_t|+(|v_{sxt}|+|v_{sx}|\,|p_{st}|\\ &\quad+|v_{st}|\,|p_{sx}|+|v_s|\,|p_{st}|\,|p_{sx}|)|q_x|]|q_{xt}|dx\\ &\le\varepsilon\|q_{xt}\|_0^2+c/\varepsilon[A_1^2+A_1^3+A_3]\varphi_1.\end{aligned}$$

The second term on the l.h.s. of (4.77) is bounded by

$$c(\|q_{xt}\|_0^2+\|u_{xxt}\|_0^2).$$

The first term on the r.h.s. of (4.77) equals

$$\begin{aligned}&-\int_\Omega\left(\frac{u}{p_s}\cdot\nabla p_s\right)_{xt}q_{xt}dx-\int_\Omega\frac{u}{p_s}\nabla q_{xt}q_{xt}dx-\int_\Omega\left(\frac{u}{p_s}\right)_x\nabla q_{xt}q_{xt}dx\\ &-\int_\Omega\left(\frac{u}{p_s}\right)_t\nabla q_xq_{xt}dx-\int_\Omega\left(\frac{u}{p_s}\right)_{xt}\cdot\nabla qq_{xt}dx\equiv\sum_{i=1}^5J_i.\end{aligned}$$

Continuing, we have

$$|J_1|\le\varepsilon\|q_{xt}\|_0^2+c/\varepsilon[A_1^2(1+A_1)\varphi_1+(1+A_1)A_3\varphi_1+A_1\Phi_1].$$

$$J_2=-\frac12\int_\Omega\frac{u}{p_s}\cdot\nabla q_{xt}^2dx=\frac12\int_\Omega\nabla\left(\frac{u}{p_s}\right)q_{xt}^2dx.$$

Hence,

$$|J_2|\le\varepsilon\|q_{xt}\|_0^2+c/\varepsilon(\Phi_1+A_2\varphi_1)\varphi_1.$$

J_3 takes the form

$$J_3 = -\int\limits_\Omega \left(\frac{u_x}{p_s} - \frac{u}{p_s^2}p_{sx}\right)q_{xt}^2 dx$$

so

$$|J_3| \le \varepsilon\|q_{xt}\|_0^2 + c/\varepsilon(\Phi_1 + A_2\varphi_1)\varphi_1$$

Next

$$J_4 = -\int\limits_\Omega \left(\frac{u_t}{p_s} - \frac{u}{p_s^2}p_{st}\right)q_{xx}q_{xt}dx.$$

Hence

$$|J_4| \le \varepsilon\|q_{xt}\|_0^2 + c/\varepsilon(\Phi_1 + A_3\varphi_1)\varphi_1.$$

Finally

$$|J_5| \le \varepsilon\|q_{xt}\|_0^2 + c/\varepsilon[\Phi_1 + (A_1 + A_1^2 + A_3)\varphi_1]\varphi_1.$$

Next

$$|K| \le \varepsilon\|q_{xt}\|_0^2 + c/\varepsilon[(1 + A_1)^2(A_1 + \varphi_1)\varphi_1 + (1 + A_1)(A_2 + A_3 + \Phi_1)\varphi_1].$$

Employing the above estimates in (4.77) implies (4.76). This concludes the proof. □

Let

(4.81)

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_0(t) = \bigg\|&\sqrt{\varrho_s}u, \sqrt{\varrho_s}u_x, \sqrt{\varrho_s}u_{xx}, \sqrt{\varrho}u_t, \sqrt{\varrho}u_{xt}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_s}}q, \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_s}}q_t, \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_s}}q_{xt},\\ &\frac{1}{\sqrt{p_s}}q_x, \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_s}}q_{xx}\bigg\|_{L_2}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\varrho_* \le \varrho_s \le \varrho^*$, $A\varrho_*^\varkappa \le p_s \le A(\varrho^*)^\varkappa$ the local solution satisfies also that

$$\frac{1}{2}\varrho_* \le \varrho \le 2\varrho^*.$$

Hence, there exist constants c_1, c_2, $c_1 < c_2$ such that

$$c_1\varphi_1 \le \varphi_0 \le c_2\varphi_1. \tag{4.82}$$

Then the above lemmas imply

Lemma 4.7. *For sufficiently regular solutions we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\varphi_0 + \Phi_1 \le c(|f_s|_{1,0}^2 + A_4(1 + A_1) + A_1 + A_1^2 + A_1^3 + A_1^4)\varphi_0\\ &\quad + c(A_1\varphi_0 + (1 + \varphi_0)\varphi_0 + \varphi_0^4)\varphi_0 + c(1 + A_4)\varphi_1\Phi_1 + cA_1|g|_{1,0}^2\\ &\equiv c_0B_1\varphi_0 + c_0B_2(\varphi_0 + \varphi_0^2 + \varphi_0^4)\varphi_0 + c_0B_3\varphi_1\Phi_1 + c_0G.\end{aligned} \tag{4.83}$$

The differential inequality (4.83) is proved for the local solutions. Our aim is to extend the local existence step by step in time.

Lemma 4.8. *Let B_i, $i=1,2,3$, and G be defined in (4.83). Assume that*

1. $\bar B_i=\sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}\sup_{t\in[kT,(k+1)T]}B_i(t)$, *$i=2,3$,* $\sup_k\int_{kT}^{(k+1)T}B_1(t)dt<\infty$.
2. $c_0\bar B_3\varphi_1\le\frac{1}{2}$.
3. γ_* *is a constant so small that*

$$\frac{1}{2}-c_0\bar B_2(1+\gamma_*+\gamma_*^3)\gamma_*^2\ge\frac{c_*}{2},\quad 0<c_*<1.$$

4. *Let for* $\gamma\le\gamma_*$,

$$\varphi(0)\le\gamma,\quad c_0G(t)\le\frac{c_*}{4}\gamma,\quad t\in\mathbb{R}_+.$$

Then

$$\varphi(t)\le\gamma\quad\textit{for}\ \ t\in\mathbb{R}_+. \tag{4.84}$$

Proof. In view of Assumption 2 and that $\varphi_0\le\Phi_1$ we obtain from (4.83) the inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt}\varphi_0\le-\bigg(\frac{1}{2}-c_0\bar B_2(1+\varphi_0+\varphi_0^3)\varphi_0\bigg)\varphi_0+c_0G+c_0B_1\varphi_0. \tag{4.85}$$

To prove the lemma we examine inequality (4.85) step by step in time. Therefore, we examine it in the time interval $[kT,(k+1)T]$, $T>0$ is given and $k\in\mathbb{N}_0$. Then we assume

$$\varphi_0(kT)\le\gamma,\quad c_0G(t)\le\frac{c_*}{4}\gamma\quad t\in[kT,(k+1)T]. \tag{4.86}$$

Our aim is to show that

$$\varphi_0((k+1)T)\le\gamma. \tag{4.87}$$

Let us introduce the quantity

$$\eta(t)=\exp\bigg(-c\int\limits_{kT}^{t}B_1(t')dt'\bigg)\varphi_0(t) \tag{4.88}$$

At $t = kT$ we have

$$\eta(kT) = \varphi_0(kT) \le \gamma. \tag{4.89}$$

Introducing the quantity

$$G_0(t) = G(t) \exp\bigg(- c_0 \int\limits_{kT}^{t} B_1(t')dt' \bigg) \tag{4.90}$$

we express (4.85) in the form

$$\frac{d}{dt}\eta \le -(1/2 - c_0 \bar{B}_2(1 + \varphi_0 + \varphi_0^3)\varphi_0)\eta + c_0 G_0 \tag{4.91}$$

Suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} t_* &= \inf\{t \in (kT, (k+1)T] : \ \varphi_0(t) > \gamma\} \\ &= \inf\bigg\{ t \in (kT, (k+1)T] : \ \eta(t) > \gamma \exp\bigg(- c_0 \int\limits_{kT}^{t} B_1(t')dt' \bigg) \bigg\} > kT. \end{aligned}$$

By Assumption 3 inequality (4.91) takes the form

$$\frac{d}{dt}\eta \le -\frac{c_*}{2}\eta + G_0. \tag{4.92}$$

Clearly, we have

$$\eta(t_*) = \gamma \exp\bigg(- c_0 \int\limits_{kT}^{t_*} B_1(t)dt \bigg) \tag{4.93}$$

and

$$\eta(t) > \gamma \exp\bigg(- c_0 \int\limits_{kT}^{t} B_1(t')dt' \bigg) \quad \text{for} \ \ t > t_*. \tag{4.94}$$

By Assumption 4 we obtain from (4.92) the relation

$$\frac{d}{dt}\eta|_{t=t_*} \le c_* \Big(- \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{4} \Big) \exp\bigg(- c_0 \int\limits_{kT}^{t_*} B_1(t)dt \bigg) < 0, \tag{4.95}$$

so it contradicts to (4.93) and (4.94). Hence

$$\eta(t) < \gamma \exp\left(-\int_{kT}^{t} B_1(t')dt'\right)$$

and

$$\varphi_0(t) < \gamma.$$

Hence (4.85) holds. By the induction we prove the lemma for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. This concludes the proof. $\square$

Let us denote

$$\begin{aligned} X_s(kT,(k+1)T) &= \|\varrho_s, v_s\|^2_{L_\infty(kT,(k+1)T;\Gamma_2^3(\Omega))} \\ &\quad + \|v_{x,tt}\|^2_{L_\infty(kT,(k+1)T;L_2(\Omega))}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.9. *Let the asusmptions of Lemma 4.8 hold. Integrating (4.83) with respect to time from kT to $(k+1)T$ yields*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_k \|u,q\|_{\mathfrak{M}(\Omega\times(kT,(k+1)T))} \le [\varphi(\sup_k X_s(kT,(k+1)T))\gamma \\ &\quad + \sup_k \|f_s\|^2_{L_\infty(kT,(k+1)T;\Gamma_0^1(\Omega))}\gamma + \sup_k \|g\|_{L_\infty(kT,(k+1)T;\Gamma_0^1(\Omega))}] \\ &\le D\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

where D is a constant.

References

[KP] Kapitanskii, L.V.; Pileckas, K.: Certain problems of vector analysis, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. LOMI 138 (1984), 197–203.

[CK] Choe, H.J.; Kim H.: Global existence of the radially symmetric solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations for the isentropic compressible fluids, Math. Meth. Appl. Sc. 28 (2005), 1–28.

[KW] Kazhikhov, ; Weigant,

[BIN] Besov, O.V.; Il'in, V.P.; Nikolskii, S.M.: Integral Representation of Functions and Theorem of Imbeddings, Nauka, Moscow 1975 (in Russian).